INTERGOVERNMENTAL-INTERTRIBAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE MEETING FOR YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Held at Mammoth Elementary School Yellowstone National Park, Mammoth, Wyoming

> June 5, 2008 8:45 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

CHERYL ROMSA
CHERYL ROMSA COURT REPORTING
P. O. BOX 1278
HELENA, MONTANA 59624-1278
(406) 449-6380

INDEX

	PAGE
Meeting Opened by Chris Lehnertz	3
Opening Prayer by Tony Incashola	3
Introduction of Attendees	3
Discussion of the Ground Rules for the Meeting	24
Presentation on Bison Management Plan (Tom Olliff and PJ White)	30
Discussion of Bison Management Plan	34
Discussion of Meeting with IBMP Partners	74
Status of NAGPRA (Alice Hart)	143
Discussion of Comprehensive Planning	152
Discussion of Camping Fee Waivers	166
Discussion of 106 Planning and Compliance	174
Discussion of Sacred Sites and Access to Such Sites and Collection of Natural Resources	176
Open Mi crophone	180
Closing Prayer by Tony Incashola	190

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had:

MS. LEHNERTZ: Good morning, welcome to Yellowstone. To get started this morning, we'd like to ask Tony Incashola, from the Confederated Salish & Kootenai, to do our opening.

(Invocation was given by Tony Incashola.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you very much. A wonderful way to start our meeting today.

My name is Chris Lehnertz; I'm deputy superintendent here at Yellowstone Park. And my colleague to the right, Colin, is also deputy superintendent. There are two of us in the park as deputies today because it takes that many shoes to fill Frank Walker's empty shoes. So we're both happy to be here.

We will start with introductions to go around the table, and I would ask that everyone say their name and then whatever they would like to share with the group and let us know a little bit more about you.

I come to the National Park Service after spending

17 years with the Environmental Protection Agency in

Denver. And I was lucky there to be able to work with

many tribes in water quality and environmental protection

programs; and it was tribes who were within the geographic

area of the Dakotas and Montana, Colorado, Utah, and

Wyoming, so many of the same affiliations with the folks

who have affiliations with the parks here. I've been here just a little bit over a year, and I'm the deputy for resources, which includes human resources, financial resources, business resources, and natural and cultural resources. So I welcome you and look forward to today.

MR. VOGEL: Thank you.

My name is Bob Vogel, and I am the deputy superintendent at Grand Teton National Park, and similar to Chris and Colin, I, too, am new and this is my first meeting. I'm honored to be here and look forward to talking about some of our issues and, more importantly, look forward to listening, to hear some of your comments and concerns and looking forward to getting to know you better throughout the day.

I was born and had my formative years in Missouri. I grew up on the Mississippi River and, really, from an early age, was connected to the land. My parents took us out camping and hiking, and I had many outdoor experiences. And, really, from an early age, I felt a calling to be a steward of the land, and even in the fifth grade formed the Nature's Preservers' Union with my neighborhood kids; and we gathered people together and dealt with a creek in our backyard that was being polluted and wrote a letter to the editor. And I guess through my formative years, I always felt some kind of -- you know,

that I was going to do something to help people to be more aware of the importance of the land and their connection to it. And I wasn't exactly sure what that was going to be, but I ended up working a summer season with the National Park Service and found a real connection to there. My background in the National Park Service is as an interpreter, and I felt it really important to -- you know, we want everyone coming to our national parks to have a good time, a fun time, but hopefully we want them to see a connection to the land and to the past of the land, the stories associated with it, and to feel a real connection to the land.

So that's who I am, and I happen to work for the National Park Service. And at an early age, we came out to Grand Teton and Yellowstone, and I thought, well, maybe some day I could live here. So I'm fulfilling my dream being in this very, very special place. So, again, I look forward to getting to know you today.

MR. JOHN STONE: [Native greeting.] My name is John Stone; I'm the vice chairman for the Yankton Sioux Tribe. I basically am here because when the buffalo came, we made an agreement to take care of each other, so I'm here trying to represent and take care of a brother of mine.

MR. JIM STONE: Good morning. Jim Stone; I work

with InterTribal Bison Cooperative. Prior to that, I worked for the Yankton Sioux Tribe. I'm here to kind of see the progress and the planning that is in place and needs to be in place to I guess address the situation.

I'll probably have more comments later.

MR. QUINN: Good morning. My name is Alvah Quinn; I'm a member of the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate in northeast South Dakota. I'm also on the board of the directors for the InterTribal Bison Coop, and we're here concerned about the slaughter.

Thank you.

MR. GARVIN: My name is Cecil Garvin; I'm a member of the Ho-Chunk Nation in Wisconsin. I am the Ho-Chunk People's representative to the InterTribal Bison Cooperative. We've gained a lot by being members of this buffalo cooperative. And my primary interest is to see how we're taking care of the source of the buffalo that goes back to tribal lands in this country. I understand we still have 57 tribes within the Coop. I'm certain that there will be more joining eventually. And I'm hoping that the buffalo will be available through the national park. It's where we got ours; we totally appreciate that. And they are very useful to us.

I will have more comments later. Thank you.

MS. CONSOLO-MURPHY: Good morning. I'm

Sue Consolo-Murphy, from Grand Teton National Park, where I'm the chief of resources there, so I work with our bison and elk and other natural and cultural resources as well. I've been in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem for more years than I want to mention there, a quarter of a century or so. So I have a great fondness for the place, and I appreciate that all of you do, too, and hope to hear today about your interests and concerns.

MS. TUELL: Good morning. My name is

Yvette Tuell; I work with the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes from

Fort Hall. I'm the environmental coordinator working on

the technical side of protecting our tribe's

off-reservation treaty rights.

MR. BRONCHO: Good morning. My name is Claudeo Broncho; I'm a member of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes. I'm the fish and wildlife policy representative for the tribe and want to welcome everybody to part of our aboriginal territory.

Thank you.

MR. KNIFE: Good morning. My name is Ted Knife,
Jr., and I'm from the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe. I live
in a little community called Red Scaffold on the southwest
part of the Cheyenne River Reservation. And I'm on the
tribal council, and I'm the vice chair of the
Wolakota Committee and also an alternate for the ITBC; and

Chairman Brinks Plenty couldn't make it this week, so he wanted me to come.

I'll have more comments later.

MS. SUCEC: Welcome to all of you, and thank you so much for coming, whatever the distance. We appreciate it.

My name is Rosemary Sucec; I work at Yellowstone National Park. Welcome.

MR. CARLSON: Good morning, everybody. I'm

Ervin Carlson; I'm from the Blackfeet Nation, and also,
I'm the president of the InterTribal Bison Cooperative.

It's good to have this meeting, I guess. It seems like --I guess we're here to hear a lot of the comments or the

concerns that the Indian people have about the buffalo,
which is a real treasure, cultural resource to us. But
not only that, all of the animals are very dear and sacred
to us as Indian people, the land and also our water.

I guess what we're really here for, also, one of the big reasons is, you know, we all have these meetings maybe once or twice a year, and the comments are from like 2000, and they always say it's a tribal consultation. You know, I hope that within this meeting here, we can actually make things a lot better than that, I guess, just a once-or-twice-a-year consultation: Well, we talked to the Indians, now we've got that out of the way, let's...

I'd like to see us come away with a little more involvement from the tribes, bringing them in maybe on a decision-making from the beginning to the end and not having the plan -- which happens not only here, but in a lot of issues that involve tribes, that the decisions are made well ahead of time, and then to say we'll have the consultation with tribes. I would like to see us have an agreement where we're at the table from the beginning and all the way through. Because the buffalo -- and like I said, not only them -- are very, very important and dear to us.

So I hope we can get into some of that dialogue today. Thank you.

MS. MARVILL: I'm Kristine Marvill; I'm the wildlife biologist for InterTribal Bison Cooperative.

I've been there about four or five years now. Prior to that, I was the wildlife biologist for the Oglala Sioux

Tribe. In the process of all this, I'm currently working on my dissertation in biogeochemistry, looking to address some of the brucellosis issues. And in my position at ITBC, I provide technical services on bison management and natural resource management to all 57 tribes.

MR. GRANT: Good morning to you all. My name is Brady Grant; I am the tribal historic preservation officer and natural resource director for the Turtle Mountain Band

of Chippewa. I'd like to welcome you all, and I hope something good comes out of this meeting.

MR. YATES: My name is Benjamin Yates; I'm from Nambe Pueblo in New Mexico. I'm a board member with InterTribal Bison Cooperative also. I'm here concerned about the buffalo here in Yellowstone.

MR. INCASHOLA: Good morning, everyone. My name is Tony Incashola; I represent the Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes. I am the director of the Salish Culture Committee. I have been part of that group for the past 35 years, and in that capacity I have the opportunity of working with my tribal elders on everything that we do as a committee, trying to protect our way of life as Indian people. And I'm here, I guess, the same as everyone else, concerned about a part of our resource that has been a big part of our lives for thousands of years.

We are here to try to find ways of protecting our resource that becomes more and more difficult as time goes on. As newer generations arrive, the newer generations do not understand, I guess, the importance of the bison, the importance of the buffalo, and what part they play in this environment that we live in. And so in my job as a director, I try to instill in the younger generations the history of the buffalo and what it meant to Indian people for thousands of years. It's a connection to our past,

and it will be a connection to our future; and it's part of our value system, it's part of our culture. And without that, without that link, our cultures will start to disappear. So I try to make sure that not only our children, but other generations that are arriving understand the importance and how we need to find ways of protecting it so that it continues to exist and play its role in the environment that we live in.

Thank you.

MR. BAPTISTE: Good morning. My name is
Brooklyn Baptiste; I'm a councilman from the Nez Perce
Tribe, also serve as the Natural Resource Subcommittee
chairman. It's an honor to be here this morning with all
our relatives here and our elders. As far as being as
young as we are, myself and my brother here, serving on
our council, it's an honor to be here among our elders and
hope that what we say won't offend any of you, but I get
your mandate to be here and speak for your people.

So I just want to thank the Park Service for, as a federal agency, being able to open this forum up for consultation. And I'm sure it's a requirement, but also, it's something that goes beyond that as far as getting meaningful dialogue between the tribes and the National Park Service as far as access issues, natural resource issues, and management issues as well. And it's

going to be very important in the next few years as far as how those comments are taken. We would hope that -- Like my brother said, dialogue that we have between any federal or state agency, we seldom get positive feedback or feedback at all when we give comments as far as a tribe or any issue like that. And so we hope that we get this feedback. And hopefully meetings wouldn't be spread so far apart as far as -- You know, I know it's tough to accommodate tribes and some of their issues, but we would hope that this meeting will disperse further dialogue between the tribes and yourself to see what we can do to help you guys as far as management issues and access issues as well.

So I just want to say thank you for having us this morning. Hopefully today will be productive and everyone here will have a blessed road back home and get back to your people and your homes the way you came here. So I just want to say thank you, again.

MR. OATMAN: My name is McCoy Oatman; I'm newly elected to the Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee, and I sit on the Natural Resource Subcommittee. And I just think it's a privilege to be here today among all you people.

On a personal note, my ancestors have been coming here for hundreds of years and thousands of years. I'm a

descendent of Apush Waiykte, Old Looking Glass, and he used to come over here to hunt, so I think it's an honor to be here in this beautiful country, and I hope that we make some progress here today. And I'd just like to thank everybody for coming here today. [Native language.]

MR. CLAIR: My name is Del Clair; I'm from Ft. Washakie, Eastern Shoshone Tribe, and I'm a representative and also belong to the Medicine Wheel Alliance.

Thank you.

MR. WISE: My name is Haman Wise; I'm a Shoshone.

I'm a Shoshone representative and also belong to the

Medicine Wheel Alliance.

Thank you.

MR. BRITTON: Good morning and welcome. My name is Ken Britton, and I'm the district ranger of the Gardiner -- the Gallatin National Forest, the Gardiner Ranger District. And our lands, the Gallatin lies to the north and to the west of Yellowstone Park. So thank you for coming.

MR. TIDZUMP: Good morning. My name is

Reed Tidzump, and I'm the THPO director for the Eastern

Shoshone Tribe over here in Ft. Washakie. And I'm just kind of new to my job, so I'm working with my two elders here and some other elders back home, and we're going

around recording historical places where our people have been. And it's kind of informative to be here and learning all the new things.

Thank you.

MR. OLLIFF: Good morning, I'm Tom Olliff. I work for Chris in one of the resource groups, in natural and cultural resources, which includes wildlife, bison, as well as the cultural resources working with Rosemary.

MR. CAMPBELL: Good morning and welcome. My name is Colin Campbell, and, as Chris mentioned, I'm a deputy superintendent here at the park. My responsibilities include interpretation, education, ranger operations, and facilities maintenance of the park. I, too, have been in the park a short time, about a year and a half, with some 30 years now with the National Park Service. I became endeared to these special places through travels with my grandfather, and I look forward today to listen and to hear.

Thank you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And we'll all be spending many hours together today, and hopefully years together in the future, so I want to make sure that everyone in the room has an opportunity to introduce themselves, so I'll start the microphone here and we'll come around.

MS. TRISDALE: I'm Paula, and I'm going to hope

that nothing goes wrong with the sound system.

MR. WALLEN: My name is Rick Wallen; I'm a biologist here at Yellowstone National Park, and I'm here to provide support and help our managers and decision-makers learn everything they can about the bison.

MR. REID: Tim Reid, deputy chief ranger, Yellowstone National Park.

MR. WHITE: PJ White; I'm the supervisory wildlife biologist. This is my first meeting, and thank you all for coming to share with us. This was also my first winter with the bison conservation and operation, so I look forward to your comments.

MS. HANSEN: My name is Emma Hansen; I'm here as an observer. I'm the curator for the Plains Indian Museum at the Buffalo Bill Historical Center in Cody, and I'm here just to listen and to learn.

MS. BONOGOFSKY: My name is Alexis Bonogofsky; I'm with the National Wildlife Federation Tribal Lands Conservation Program, and I'm here in place of Steve Torbitt, who couldn't make it today.

MS. CLARK: Good morning. I'm Eleanor Clark, and I'm in charge of comprehensive planning here in Yellowstone, and I'll be speaking with you and hearing from you hopefully this afternoon.

MR. REED: Good morning. My name is

Trevor Reed (phonetic), and I'm just Kristine Marvill's husband.

MS. GARDIPEE: Hi, I'm Flo Gardipee, and I'm not Kristine Marvill's husband. I'm a Ph.D. student in wildlife biology at the University of Montana, and I'm studying the genetics here of the Yellowstone National Park bison and also in Grand Teton as well.

MS. NICHOLAS FISHER: Danielle Nicholas Fisher, education branch within the division of interpretation here in Yellowstone.

MS. FRANKE: Mary Ann Franke, here in Yellowstone with Yellowstone Center for Resources science publications.

MR. PLAIN FEATHER: Jason Plain Feather; I'm a student at the University of Montana and an intern at Grand Teton National Park and an enrolled member of the Crow Tribe.

MR. DUGI SHAW: I'm Dennison Dugi Shaw; I'm a senior at Haskell Indian Nations University, and I'm an intern at Grand Teton National Park.

MS. HART: I'm Alice Hart; I'm the museum curator at Grand Teton National Park, and I have the great privilege to take care of a wonderful Native American art and artifact collection. And along with my colleagues, I participate in NAGPRA consultations, and I'll be talking

with you and hearing from you about both of those later today.

MS. ST. CLAIR: I'm Jacqueline St. Clair, and I'm the archeologist at Grand Teton National Park.

MR. THOM: [Native greeting.] My name is

Laine Thom. I'm from the Shoshone, Goshute, and Paiute

Tribes. I'm an enrolled member of the Skull Valley Indian

Reservation west of Salt Lake City, and I'm with

Grand Teton National Park in interpretation.

MS. RIDDLE: [Native greeting.] My name is

Dagmar Riddle, and I'm representing the International

Indian Treaty Council and Aim West and Vallejo InterTribal

Council.

Thank you.

MS. POPPER: My name is Ilona Popper; I'm a volunteer here with Rosemary Sucec's office in the national park. I'm also a member of the Bear Creek Council.

MS. KLINE: Good morning. My name is

Molly Kline; I've had the privilege to work with Rosemary
in the ethnography office for the past six weeks. In the
rest of my time, I am a gate ranger at the west entrance
gate here in Yellowstone.

MS. JOHNSON: My name is Sue Johnson, and I'm also a volunteer with Rosemary Sucec. I just started in

January and I'm really enjoying my volunteer work.

MS. WYMAN: My name is Becky Wyman, and I provide administrative support for the branch of natural resources and the ethnography program.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: You'll see her throughout the day. That's my great-granddaughter.

My name is Rosalie Little Thunder, and I am a representative for the Rosebud Sioux Tribe. I was hoping my chairman would be here; probably on his way. But I'm also co-founder of Buffalo Field Campaign, which is known in these parts, and I'm also observing and reporting to Tonya Frichner, who is the North American rep to the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. And I'm glad to see International Indian Treaty Council here. Thank you for coming.

Thank you.

MR. GEIST: Good morning and welcome. I'm

Darrell Geist; I'm a member of the Buffalo Field Campaign

and also the habitat coordinator for the group.

MR. NELL: I'm George Nell, a local resident and longtime seasonal employee for the National Park Service. And I work with anybody who wants to talk about buffalo pretty much, Buffalo Field Campaign, National Wildlife. Anyone who really wants to talk to me, I'll certainly do that, and I invite anyone, actually tribal members and

anyone else that wants to really talk about what goes on on the ground right in the Gardiner area. Of course, this is where most of the slaughter happens involving the National Park Service; the west side is mostly the State of Montana and other agencies.

So the way these buffalo move on the landscape, it's interesting to understand and know where the hunt zones are. A little confusion this year on that. So definitely get in touch with us. I'm also a member of Bear Creek Council and, like I say, anybody else that will work with buffalo.

Thank you.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: [Native greeting.] Good morning. My name is Faith Spotted Eagle; I'm Ihanktonwan/Nakota/Dakota from the Yankton Reservation in southeastern South Dakota. I'm on the Cultural Committee, I'm the traditional cultural property survey coordinator, and just lately, I'm on the Treaty Steering Committee. But I would like to extend my hand to the Nee-Me-Poo and the other Salish people here. I lived in Spokane for about 14 years, so it's good to see the people and recognize you in this arena, in your homeland.

We also have a customary and usual oral history of being in these areas, and so we thank you for caring for them. And we come here also to pray. My father used to

talk about passing through these mountains on the way to what is now Utah to gather salt to take home to our people, and they would stop and pray and do the necessary things that they needed to do here. So it always feels good to be able to come here and offer prayers, and I'm sure that we've crossed paths in our ancestors' ways. So I give you thanks for being here with us in the circle today.

We also have some input on some concerns and some ideas that we have in how that be can promoted in a good way for the survival of our Pteoptaye, the Buffalo Nation. So good morning and thank you. [Native Language.]

MS. HONOMICHL: Good morning. I'm

Judy Honomichl; I'm also on the Cultural Committee for the

Ihanktonwan Nation.

MS. WARNER: My name is Paula Warner (phonetic), from the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate.

MR. LOOKING HORSE: [Native greeting.] My name is Arvol Looking Horse. I am the 19th-generation keeper of the sacred Chanupa, the sacred pipe. Lakota/Dakota/Nakota Oyate are known as the great Sioux Nation, and I've been this bundle keeper since the age of 12 years old. Spirit Woman, the Buffalo Calf Woman brought this Chanupa, this pipe to our people, so we have a lot of sacred buffalo teachings.

And the buffalo is pretty important in our ceremonies, and I grew up being taught by elders to respect not only the buffalo, but the eagle and all the animals that hold those sacred teachings on this sacred land. And we followed the buffalo, so our people came here to this sacred site, Yellowstone, to do prayers. But today, you know, we live on reservations, but we still maintain our spiritual ways on this -- these sacred sites and our sacred buffalo. We hold high respect for the buffalo and our animal nation. [Native language.]

MR. DRAPEWE: [Native language.] I want to say good morning to all you relatives. My name is Glenn Drapewe. I come from the Ihanktonwan Nation, the Yankton Sioux Nation in southeastern South Dakota, and I'm a member of the Cultural Committee with the Ihanktonwan Nation and also here to share interest of the Pteoptaye, the Buffalo Nation, and to share some concerns that we have and offer any type of input of how we endear our relatives of the Buffalo Nation to all of our relatives here. [Native Language.]

MR. SPOTTED EAGLE: [Native greeting.] My name is Spotted Eagle, from the Yankton Sioux Tribe in South Dakota. I'm a tribal cultural property monitor and a surveyor on the ** Missouri River. I wanted to hear what was going on and learn some stuff today.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you, everyone, for your introductions.

You know, I was hiking in the park this weekend on Sunday on a trail just here outside this area, on Beaver Ponds Trail. And as I was hiking, I was thinking about this meeting and being able to meet you all this weekend. As I thought about the meeting, I thought, what is it to listen? You know, what does it mean to listen to each other and to people? And I was reminded of a time when I was at my grandparents' house in Denver. I grew up in Denver.

I was in the backyard with my grandfather, and he was always teaching me things and telling me things. And we were walking around looking at the gardens, and he said, "I have a word and I'm going to spell it for you and I want you to tell me what it is." And so he said "S-0, M-E-T, I, M-E-S." I said, "Well, it's so-meti-mes." He said, "Let me spell it again and you tell me when you know what the word is." He said, "S-0, M-E-T, I, M-E-S." And I was a stubborn kid, so, of course, I said the same thing again, "So-meti-mes." He says, "Is that a word you learned in school?" I said, "No." He says, "Well, that's not what the word is. It's sometimes. And sometimes we have to take our time to listen and think about what's in front of us and not think we have the answer right away."

And so I thought about that when I thought of today and when I think about what we can do today and how we can get to know each other.

The staff here at Yellowstone Park and at Grand Teton works very hard to solve problems every day, and the pace of life is so fast sometimes when you look at the number of areas where we work. And today is a real gift to us, for you to travel and to come here and to spend an entire day, including the travel time to come here and travel time to go home safely, but to let us sit and just talk with you and listen to you and understand where we are from and what we mean and share some of the difficult work that we all are doing. So I think listening is something we really feel is a gift, to have the time to do that. And I want to thank everyone who has traveled here today and let you know how much we appreciate it, and we're very much looking forward to the rest of the day.

The next thing on our list, on our agenda -- Rosemary.

MS. SUCEC: May I say a few words about the transcription?

MS. LEHNERTZ: Please.

MS. SUCEC: Thank you. And I think I can speak loud enough, but let me know if someone can't hear me.

We do have a court reporter here today. She's taking verbatim your words, and so Cheryl takes her business

seriously and wants to hear you. She may interrupt and ask for you to repeat what you just said. She does need a break to protect her wrists; she's beginning to experience carpal tunnel syndrome.

Thank you, again.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So I thought what we could do is to take a look at our agenda and also at the ground rules that were established and make sure everyone understands what's on there, any changes anyone would like to suggest, anything we may not have captured, and then talk a little bit through the ground rules and make sure we all agree with those still.

So in your packet is a blue sheet, and that is our proposed agenda for today. And this morning, we really are focusing on our conversations on bison management.

We'll take a break for one-and-a-half hours at 12 o'clock to have a lunch, and before we break we'll talk a little bit about different places to go eat. And then we'll gather back and start around 1:30 this afternoon with a number of different issues. We'd like to introduce some new staff. We'll do a briefing on some residential camps. We'll have a conversation on NAGPRA and objects at Grand Teton, talk a little bit about comprehensive planning and park planning projects at both parks. And then there are some additional items, including discussion

on camp fee waiver, 106 planning and compliance process, sacred sites and access to those sites, and collection of natural resources. Then we will open up the agenda to any other topics, as well as an open microphone, and then we'll close. And at 6 o'clock, we hope everyone can join us from 6 to 9 for the potluck this evening, which I think we'll have some delicious things to share and time to spend in conversation.

So is there anything anyone would like to say about the agenda, to add or to change?

(No response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you. And as we go through the agenda, if something seems askew, please just let us know and we'll make adjustments as we go as well.

The second thing I would call your attention to is a white sheet in your packet, called Ground Rules. It's a pink sheet in your packet instead of white, and these are ground rules that were established by the delegates at the spring 2006 meeting. And I've kind of summarized them on a chart up here (indicating), but there are five different ground rules, and I wanted to walk through each and see if anyone has an update or a change or anything they would like to add.

So the first one is allowing presenters to finish their presentations before asking questions and opening up

for discussion. Is everyone still all right with that ground rule?

Go ahead, Ervin.

MR. CARLSON: I guess I just had a comment about the ground rule about questions, you know, during it. One thing that I always see, a lot of times during somebody's presentation or whatever, there might be questions right during that you want to ask, and then if you wait until later maybe you lose your thought on it to really get it out there. And I always thought that, even when I talk, that I would like, you know, if anybody had questions during that time, to ask during that so we that could get it out. That was just my personal thought.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So there's a suggestion that we make it so that during presentations, people may ask questions as they come to their minds. Do we have any discussion on that?

Yes.

MS. RIDDLE: I agree.

MS. LEHNERTZ: We have an agreed, okay.

MR. QUINN: I second it.

MR. CARLSON: All in favor say "aye."

MS. LEHNERTZ: Okay, I would say that we are changing the ground rule. Thank you for that feedback.

And if you would do me a favor, and that is as a

presenter is speaking, one of the things you might do is either raise your hand very high or put your name tag on its end so we can really see and make sure we can get to your question. And I'll remind the presenters that we're going to be doing that. They may wonder why you're sideways on the table, so I'll remind them.

Yes.

MS. RIDDLE: Is it all right if the observers here also raise their hands?

MS. LEHNERTZ: I believe that that's all right, unless anyone has a concern with that.

Rosemary.

MS. SUCEC: It's not a concern, but the delegates who attended that meeting wanted to be sure, since you are the designated representatives of your tribal government, that you had the opportunity to do the business with the park. So delegates respectfully requested to speak first, to ask the question first, and then throw open to the audience or observers. That was the sentiment of the delegates in 2006.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So any comments on that? Yes.

MR. BRONCHO: In that regard, when those were developed, it was in regards to the word "consultation" and that the tribal people here that have treaties and

agreements with the federal government were the first to be recognized. That's what this whole thing was about, was the tribes meeting with the national park, Grand Teton National Park, and the surrounding national forests in the area. So, you know, that's why -- The people that are sitting in the back don't represent tribes or are their delegates, so that was the whole purpose behind these meetings, in order to stay with the agenda of the tribal nations.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And so I would ask people to be respectful of that intention and remind you that -- we've jumped to the fourth bullet, but there will be an open microphone at the end of the meeting as well. So anyone in the audience can speak then as well.

So the second bullet, seat only delegates at the table and non-delegates in the audience section; have we done that all right? Are we at that place now where we have delegates at the table?

Yes.

MR. BRONCHO: I have one more issue, though, in regards to some of the cultural issues. That's going to be -- we have representatives from the different tribes, tribal nations, and at that time, we don't want to stop any of the cultural, because that's where our heart and blood comes from. And so the cultural issues would be

kind of the exception to the rule, I guess you'd say. I don't know if I'm creating another rule. But you've got to respect the cultural people that are here. Without that culture, we wouldn't be here. So that is one that -- in the cultural issues that we talk about, the people that are in the back that come here may not be delegates, but they hold a higher seat in our tribes or our nations, so you've got to respect that. That's something that's unwritten and needs to be respected.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you, Claudeo. And if you all can help us do that well, we will very much appreciate it.

So is the seating all right now? Do we have enough seats for everyone as they should be seated?

(No audible response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: The third bullet that was agreed to in 2006 was to put was time limits on speakers so that we can adhere to and get through the agenda. Does anyone have any concerns with that, or suggestions?

(No response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Okay, thank you.

The fourth one, provide an open microphone. Again, we intend to do that at the end of the meeting to make sure there is an opportunity for speaking.

And the last one was to encourage tribes to send

consistent delegates so that time isn't necessarily being taken up to bring others up to speed about bison management issues, and I believe we have met that with the group we have here today.

I would suggest one more ground rule. For all of us who have electronic gizmos attached to us somehow, if you could please put your phone on quiet, I think that will help us not be interrupted and be able to focus on our conversations.

Anything else anyone would like to suggest for the ground rules for 2008?

(No response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Very well. Thank you very much.

We will now move into bison management at Yellowstone
and Grand Teton National Parks, and I will introduce
Tom Olliff to begin the presentation.

MR. OLLIFF: Thanks, Chris.

I don't want to spend a lot of time talking. I will say that as a broad overview, I think four big things happened this winter that will change the fate of the way we manage buffalo here in the long term, but I don't know how long that will take: The magnitude of the slaughter, the acquisition of the grazing rights in RTR, the GAO audit that happened, I think came out in midwinter, and the success of the hunt in the state of Montana. I think

those factors are going to converge to broad things. And I'll just leave it at that for right now as a broad overview and turn it over to PJ to talk about some specifics, and then we can talk about those things as we begin the dialogue.

MS. LEHNERTZ: You know, I would just make one suggestion. The microphones on your table are alive, and so please feel free to have someone pass it down to you as you speak so everyone can hear you.

MR. WHITE: I would just like to give a brief summary of what happened this winter. Some of the things, Tom has talked about. This was the eighth winter we participated in implementing the Interagency Bison Management Plan, which is designed to manage the risk of brucellosis transmission from bison to cattle, as well as conserving the population and, over time, allowing for increased tolerance of bison outside the park. The Park Service does that, along with the Forest Service, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, APHIS, the Montana Department of Livestock, and the Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks.

Last winter, we had the heaviest snowfall in the park that I think we've had in a decade, and that, combined with a relatively high number of bison, around 4700, resulted in a difficult winter for the bison and also for

the staff here at the park and the other agencies. The bison began moving relatively early, trying to migrate towards the boundaries of the park, especially starting from the interior herd in the Hayden Valley and that area, and we began hazing them on the north boundary in late December; and that continued until mid February, when it essentially became ineffective due to the large aggregations of the bison trying to push out of the park on the north boundary here. So we did make the decision to begin to capture bison at Stephens Creek and we began shipping them to slaughter in mid February, and that continued through March.

In late March, we began to test the bison for brucellosis and started to hold bison that tested negative for exposure to the disease in the capture facility at Stephens Creek for later release after the spring green-up. And we actually stopped shipping all bison in mid April; again, holding all the animals for release at spring green-up. And that occurred around the 15th of May, when we released about 333 bison; 252 adults and 81 calves, I believe. At about the same time, we also hazed -- participated with the other agencies in hazing the bison from Horse Butte out to near West Yellowstone, the west side of the park, back into the park so they could start to move to their summer range.

So in summary, we conducted about 128 hazing operations, killed about 1,450 bison, primarily by shipping them to slaughter, we did send 112 calves to the quarantine project just north of the park that's run by Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks and APHIS, and there were 166 bison harvested by state and treaty hunts, for a total management removal of 1,728. And our best estimate right now is that we have between 2,000 and 2,500 bison in the population. We are trying to get a flight in, hopefully as early as tomorrow, to get a better idea of the count and the distribution of the bison.

As Tom mentioned, there was the general -- or, excuse me, the Government Accountability Office did do an audit of our progress in implementing this plan during 2007 and the early part of 2008. They concluded that progress has been slow in completing the management steps to increase tolerance for bison outside the park, and they said that we needed to more clearly define our objectives for desired outcomes through this plan and also to adaptively change the plan based on new information so that we are more effective in conserving bison.

To address these criticisms, we are currently working on a surveillance plan that includes objectives and activities to evaluate our effectiveness at reaching these goals. There are a series of public meetings that are

that run the plan to discuss basically where the plan is not working well and how we can adapt it to better conserve bison and also manage the risk of brucellosis transmission. And perhaps the most positive thing that we've done so far is Superintendent Lewis and Governor Schweitzer led an effort and obtained an agreement with the Royal Teton Ranch north of the park to remove cattle for 30 years through essentially a lease of the grazing rights, which should provide for more increased tolerance of bison out the north part of the park, and we'll be meeting with Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks this summer to develop a plan for that area.

That's all I have. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

MR. QUINN: I've got a question. You mentioned that the five agencies involved in the Interagency Bison Management Plan is going to be meeting. Are there going to be any tribes at that meeting?

MR. WHITE: These meetings are public meetings.

I have not heard about the coordination with the tribes.

MR. QUINN: Okay, thank you.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: The GAO report, you know, pretty much says the plan is kind of askew. Is that something that's going to be under discussion when you

have this meeting?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WHITE: Yes, most definitely.

MR. JOHN STONE: How do you determine the carrying capacity?

MR. WHITE: The question was, how do you determine the carrying capacity?

We've estimated, if you will, the ecological carrying capacity based on the resources in the park and outside that can support the number of bison, basically through modeling, putting in, you know, all the biotic elements, the forage, the number of bison, those sorts of things; and it's been estimated through that by Mike Coughenour, who did that out of Colorado State University. We're also now assessing essentially what range of bison numbers we would need to maintain genetic diversity in the bison, given the recent evidence in some of the work by Flo Gardipee and others showing that there are genetic differences between the central and northern herds. we're currently working on that. And, to be honest, it's very complex and we'll probably need to work with Flo and others to get a better estimate of that.

MR. JOHN STONE: Is it possible to be able to review that report initially determining the carrying capacity?

MR. WHITE: Yes, certainly. I have a copy of it

and would be happy to share it with you.

MS. RIDDLE: You said that the bison being shipped to slaughter ended on April 19th, but the fact is that as late as the end of May, bison were being shipped to slaughter. There is resolution in United Nations made by the International Indian Treaty Council to protect the Yellowstone bison for indigenous people. And you're talking about increased tolerance, and how can you increase the tolerance with bison that have already been slaughtered?

MR. WHITE: Well, I appreciate your comments, and, certainly, you know, as far as conserving bison, we feel we need to get tolerance outside the park for these winters when the bison are going to migrate out.

MS. RIDDLE: It should already have been done.

It was a horrible thing killing half the herd, horrible.

MR. QUINN: You make mention about the animals going outside the park. Now, did an entity or an organization lease up some grazing rights outside the park through the CUT ranch?

MR. WHITE: The CUT ranch is the Royal Teton Ranch, where we'd made it -- bought out the lease for 30 years. It's currently being finalized, I believe.

MR. QUINN: What is that going to cost on an annual basis?

MR. WHITE: I believe the total price tag was \$3 million, of which the Park Service came up with 2 3 a-million-and-a-half dollars.

> MR. QUINN: That's just the grazing rights?

MR. WHITE: Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For 30 years. MR. JIM STONE:

MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.

MR. GARVIN: You referred to the GAO report as criticism. I'm just wondering if that GAO report that you have received, if that mandates or that requires the parks to respond to the GAO report on a certain timeline, or is that just information related to the park system saying, here is a finding for your information? Is there something mandated by that GAO report?

MR. WHITE: We did respond to the report, basically saying that we agreed that progress had been slow and we were going to work to address their criticisms. I'm not aware of any --

MS. LEHNERTZ: Cecil, the report is an analysis by the Government Accountability Office, which really represents the Congress. And their recommendations are weighed very seriously by the Park Service and Fish & Wildlife Service, everyone involved. There is no directive that comes from that report that holds the weight of law or of regulation. It really is an advisory report, but something we take very seriously. The Park Service is in the executive branch of government, and that's the legislative branch. And should the Government Accountability Office's recommendations take effect as law or statute, the Congress would need to pass a law to do that.

MR. GARVIN: That was my question. Thank you.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: I have three quick questions. One of them, the first one, is that the management plan -- You know, to take into consideration the risk of brucellosis transmission, we all know that elk are an issue, and, yet, I don't see an elk management plan. You know, elk are more numerous. I don't know what the figures are, but I know back a while ago, there were 100,000 elk in the ecosystem. And, yet, bison are very vigorously managed and elk aren't, and so that's always raising a question of discrimination against the bison.

My second question is, the management plan and the actions based on it, I'm very concerned about the genetic diversity of buffalo herds. And thirdly, my third question is -- I'm just trying to pack them in so I'm not up and down -- how do consultation comments factor into the actual actions? Because, you know, I've attended these consultations and there's been input, and I'm trying to figure out, how do we factor in, you know, how do we

really factor that into what's happening with the bison?

Thank you.

MR. WHITE: Certainly, elk are an issue with brucellosis, and there is a dichotomy in management. I believe all the known transmissions to cattle recently that could be traced back have come from elk, not from bison, so you certainly have an excellent point there.

How the comments are factored in, in the surveillance plan that we are addressing, one of the attempts is to be better at disclosure and getting information out and also incorporating those comments into the record and how we consider them. So we hope to be better at that in the near future.

MR. JOHN STONE: Has there ever been a documented transmission of brucellosis from a buffalo to a cow?

MR. WHITE: The question was, has there ever been a documented transfer of brucellosis from a bison to a cow in a wild setting? I'm not aware of any.

Yes, sir.

MR. CARLSON: You mentioned that the slaughter this year was 1,400 animals. What was the real reason, I guess, for the 1,400 animals being slaughtered; the carrying capacity, or, you know, was there any other plan, I guess, other than just the slaughter? You know, you talk about a quarantine facility; if all of these animals

could be tested, I guess, and then be in the quarantine facility. And that's our big concern, that they soon go out to whoever, and the big thing is the tribes that do raise buffalo would like to have those. And that's the big issue here, is that the last pure genetic herd be saved, but here we are, this year, a record 1,400 -- a record number of animals being slaughtered. You know, why is the reason for that many animals this year being slaughtered?

MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. What happened was we had lots and lots of bison push the boundary of the park where we could no longer haze them back into the park, which is what we're supposed to do on the north side in this stage of the plan. So because of that -- We can't hold all the bison in the capture facility, we don't have that capacity. And we don't want to hold them from, say, December until May because we're worried they'll get habituated to food and return down there in coming years. So we made the decision to start shipping them to slaughter at that time.

We are very concerned that this, you know, is the second winter in the last five years, I believe, where we've shipped or killed more than a thousand -- almost a thousand bison or more. And what we'd like to explore this summer is, you know, other ways of maybe removing

bison from the herd at whatever levels, whether it be through a state or tribal harvest, those sorts of actions, moving animals to quarantine, to basically not have these large-scale culls every three to five years. Which we also have big genetic concerns about these culls also.

Yes, sir.

MR. CARLSON: I'm still not done with my questions.

Within your plans, is there like maybe increased tribal hunts of these animals? But also within your plans, is there, in the future, a plan to look at not having to slaughter so many animals, that some other plan is in place to save these animals, such as really going forward with, you know, the testing and getting the animals into a quarantine, then further from there in subsequent years to tribes? Are you going to put those in place?

MR. WHITE: Well, we certainly -- certainly would like to not be shipping animals to slaughter. And we are, right now, evaluating the potential for doing vaccination on a large scale. We are intending to probably have an environmental impact statement to assess that decision by next year sometime, in 2009. So we are pursuing that. We certainly support sending the calves to quarantine and would like, I mean, eventually to see that go operational.

The first calves from that, or I should say the first bison that went into that feasibility study for the quarantine are calving right now, and if none of the calves are seropositive, then hopefully next year they should be available to be sent somewhere to start a new herd. And we are involved with the other agencies and the ITBC to get out requests for proposals this summer and assess them.

MR. CARLSON: You know, you didn't answer
Rosalie's question. I mean, she asked about you have this
buffalo management plan and it plans everything around
them and all the intention is on them over brucellosis,
and then you'd also just said within that that there was
no scientific or no data, or even no proof, showing that
the brucellosis was passed from the buffalo to the cattle,
but that from elk, there was. And her question was, is
there a plan for elk, because they're larger maybe in
numbers than buffalo, but everything is focused or blamed
on the buffalo? And then she asked if there was anything
being done about it. You know, that question wasn't
really answered.

MR. WHITE: Well, I apologize for that.

We do not have a disease management plan for brucellosis for elk. We do test them periodically when we do captures for radio-collaring and those sorts of things,

and they're also tested by the State of Montana from their hunt. But we do not have a similar plan for elk and at this point have not had any discussions about having one.

MR. CARLSON: The other one, I guess, you talked about there's going to be five, I guess, interagency meetings, and then AI vah asked the question if tribes or tribal representatives are going to be involved. You said it was open to the public. But I guess that's what we come here to the table for, is to request that tribes are sat at the table from the beginning to the end and not always just here for comment after a plan is all done. You know, I guess that's the real big issue that I see with us being here, why we're mainly here, is that we're just as concerned as you are and have a longer-standing relationship with buffalo.

So are there plans, I guess, to have somebody sitting on your team or that being implemented so that you have tribal representation from the beginning to the end? Are we really going to do something like that; is that really going to happen?

MR. WHITE: All I can say at this point is I'll pass it on to Superintendent Lewis. These meetings, you know, we're just trying to come up with agendas. And I haven't been involved with the discussions that intimately.

MR. CARLSON: And we had that little talk yesterday amongst ourselves, too, you know. And what we said in there, and I said, is that we're going to go back to the table again here just like the other meetings and it's going to be the same question like that. If I'm going to ask a question like that and then you say, well, I'll relay that back to the superintendent -- And that happens to us all the time as Indian people. We come and sit down at the table as representatives, tribal representatives or the people that make decisions, but then the people that make decisions on your side are not there to give us a straight answer, that this is what is going to happen. And it goes back that way too many times.

So that's a real big concern, I guess, that we have here.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And may I just interject here a little bit. We definitely will make sure that the tribes are notified of those five meetings, and that will be an important piece. But I would also like to say, in conversations with the superintendent -- In the past, there has been a conversation about the possibility of a meeting between the tribes and the IBMP partners. And when I spoke to the superintendent about that, she very much is wanting to encourage the tribes to send a letter,

a formal letter to the IBMP to request a meeting. It's not a public meeting, but it's a meeting between the tribes and the partners. And she would very much support that letter in speaking with the partners and encourage the partners to have such a meeting. So I hope that that is something that is an opportunity that can be pursued as well.

MR. CARLSON: And I understand that. And you're just saying, you know, again that we'll make sure the tribes are informed. And even though it's in the comments here, you know, it's the consultation with tribes, you said, well, we called them and this and that. But, again, I'm going to say it again, we want to get an answer if we can be right there at the table as a decision-making partner, I guess, within that from beginning to end and not just, well, we'll let you know that there's a meeting in place.

So I guess with a formal letter, I guess maybe that will get us a little further along, and maybe even a meeting with the people who are going to make the decision.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Correct.

MR. JOHN STONE: Why isn't the superintendent here?

MR. WHITE: I'm not sure where Suzanne is today.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Suzanne is unfortunately not available. She just got back from Billings and she's getting ready to go to Bozeman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BAPTISTE: And along the lines as far as consultation, I appreciate that, and I understand that you as one federal agency can't influence another federal agency to commit themselves to something as far as accepting tribes as far as our influence. But I think what he's talking about is pretty much a template letter for each tribe as far as asking -- And it's kind of tough because as federal agencies, you have a trust obligation to the tribes that's a legal, binding obligation, not just, you know, an offering, do you want to come and And we, as policy people, are here to comment comment. because we have an obligation as policy representatives from our tribe, and the trust responsibility that you have for those tribes, but also our spiritual leaders as well. That's something that's not recognized. We have to either have a degree or you're listening to someone else as far as a Ph.D. or someone who has done a dissertation and then you're going to use that information, but not actually the words from the people themselves.

And it's hard to get that across as far as what they mean to us, our relatives, and how we're connected to our resources rather than just business. And to be frank, I

understand that it's tough because brucellosis was given to these buffalo by cattle and now they're being stringently -- you know, create this large buffer zone and the buffalo are not giving the disease. It's the elk; the elk don't have a management plan, they don't have disease control. I know it's based upon funding as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in that aspect, I think the tribes have a better opportunity of increasing that funding as far as what can we do to change that management plan so that you include and incorporate disease control management for elk. Because the buffalo are paying the highest price for elk giving that disease to cattle. And to be honest, we understand as well you do that the reason we're here is because the cattle industry is the political driving force behind the Montana State Department of Livestock's being able to -- Because I talked to them. We have a hunting season as far as exercising our treaty right in our treaty, but, you know, talking to them, they need increased funding as far as what they can do. And they said, "If you can help us get the funding so we can do the studies and we can clear these herds, we would like that." Because they don't want to do it either, but there's a mandate from the State of Montana that you should create this buffer zone so it doesn't affect the cattle industry. And that's pretty much what's driving most of it.

know, you're not going to set up a total slaughter because you feel there's a small chance that they might get brucellosis when you don't exactly know if they have it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's kind of where we sit as far as my dialogue with the State of Montana and the Fish & Wildlife And Commission as far as us our exercising our right. we're trying to help them outside this parameter. Because you guys have got people you answer to, you have people in Washington, D.C. Those are the people that the tribes here, the policy people can contact and let them know we want in as far as this interagency meeting that you have; not because you want to, but because you guys have an obligation to include the tribes in that dialogue, you have an obligation to have those tribes sit there and discuss and take our information, give meaningful responses back to the tribes. I would hate to have that as the same conversation -- which we probably did two years ago at the last meeting, we're going to come back and say the exact same thing.

So these are things that we want you to express to us as far as you will commit yourself to having an information exchange with the rest of the interagency -- the board there so that we can be there at the table so we can tell those people as far as what our concerns are with the management plan. And if there isn't a disease

control, then help us help you get that disease control in the management plan for elk. Because these buffalo are paying too high of a price for those elk giving us that brucellosis.

You know, there's only two cases that put them on that status now. And it is the cattle industry that's driving this, and they have a large political pull when it comes to the State of Montana. It's a billion-dollar industry. They're the ones right now who are in the driver's seat and we're the ones in the back seat trying to tell them --trying to steer them, and that just ain't happening. Through your help and through your responsibility, I would hope that you can get that information to them, get them interested in having us sit at the table as far as input from the tribes and get that dialogue. But we can help you guys manage that.

As far as the climate changes go, that's probably why we're going to see more numbers of them slaughtered.

Because they're coming down in droves because it's easier and staying warmer a lot longer. The winter's not as bad up there anymore so they're coming down and they're staying in larger numbers and they're starting to spread out because the habitat is more open and the seasons are staying open longer. And it's just going to get worse as far as more buffalo coming out of the park and they stay

there longer because that habitat's a lot warmer lower.

And so then, you know, they give birth, so the numbers are going to go up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the tribe, the Nez Perce Tribe and the Salish & Kootenai, when we exercise our treaty right, we're discussing with the State of Montana as far as, okay, we have to fight over 666 buffalo that we try to take in the best possible way for subsistence for the tribes, but then just on the other side, you're going to go and slaughter 1,400 animals; whether they're carrying babies or not, you're just going to kill them no matter And we have to fight for half and half with the what. State of Montana as far as their tags. So we would rather get into that other arena, instead of, well, why do we have to fight for this many when you guys are just going to go and slaughter them whether they're carrying or not, whether they have brucellosis or not, just slaughter them without regard.

So those things are what issues we have. So if you can definitely be that spokesperson for the tribes as far as getting us in that door in an interagency meeting, then we would appreciate that, and hopefully we'll get there so we won't be here next year or the year after talking about the same exact issue.

I'm sorry I took so much time. Thank you for the

opportunity to speak.

MR. WHITE: Thank you.

MS. TUELL: I have several issues. First off, I want to remind the National Forest Service -- I mean, the Park Service that it's not the tribes' duty to consult, it's the federal agencies' duty, and we as the tribes request or invite you to invite us to consult. It's not our duty to -- it's our duty to respond to your request.

Secondly, the tribes are not members of the general public. You've identified that the public meetings are for the public. At this point, we request that the National Park Service develop a consultation plan for the tribes and we'd like to see that implemented.

Next, looking at -- And I thank you for the handout that you provided, because this is very helpful to looking at the past consultation with the tribes and how it was implemented in the ROD. Unfortunately, it's pretty -- pretty poor. Going to page 42 of this summary of the ROD, looking at this section on tribal consultation, it's very, very poor. All it is, is the procedural requirement to consult.

At this point, I'd ask that the comments that were provided to us from the October 11th, 2000 meeting, that that be carried forward into the next planning process, whether it's this comprehensive plan that you're going to

propose, apparently, but also for future evaluations

for -- Apparently it's some kind of surveillance plan that
you're going to develop next; is that correct?

MR. WHITE: We are working on one right now, yes.

MS. TUELL: Well, I ask that these comments, because they are substantial comments, be carried forward and entered into the record for the planning process to consider.

I'd also ask that -- And it's a bison management plan. This is not a disease management plan. You know, don't be limited to disease management, because it's not. That's a part of it. But I'd ask that that be reevaluated, reopened perhaps, even a supplemental EIS, to provide the comments that are made by the tribes. Because it's not addressed. The consideration in the ROD is very poor on tribal issues. And I challenge the agency here to do a much better job. I challenge the implementation section to be much more detailed with tribal issues.

For example, establish areas of responsibilities to the tribes, put it right in the Record of Decision. That makes it a legal document that the agencies must do and allows a much more effective consultation meeting and dialogue with the tribes, because it shows that you understand our issues and you're actually implementing and taking into -- trying to involve the tribes much more

actively rather than simply coming here and speaking with us once a year.

Allow for more positive solutions that can be driven involving the tribes, utilizing the tribes as possible action agencies to help. I mean, that's what some of the people have already indicated, that we want to be a part of the solution.

And also, I would like to see perhaps at next year's meeting to have a report of how both the Grand Teton and Yellowstone are implementing the tribal issues that are being brought up. And I know Rosemary is doing something with the youth interpretation, some kind of program this summer. Well, that's real positive stuff, and we would like to hear it. You know, we don't want to just hear the negative, we want to also hear the positive efforts that the agencies are doing.

You know, I also would like to see much more of this type (indicating) of information. I think there needs to be another column here that says, "What is the action that the agencies are going to do?" Not just the issue identification, not where the FEIS tried to address it but didn't, but where are they going to go from there? Why they didn't address it? If you look on page 3, it simply says the FEIS does not recognize, does not address, does not address, did not address. Why was it not addressed?

Tell us why. Help us provide more effective comments by giving us feedback.

But this (indicating) is very -- it's a start, but there needs to be much more work done.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: I wanted to echo Yvette's comments. I'm really glad that you brought that out, because that is a woeful, woeful statement on tribal consultation. I think that coming from the tribal perspective, we have developed a high degree of skill in consulting with federal agencies because we get to see a profile of what you know. And four pages is a lot of what you don't know -- I mean, four lines in a consultation.

We just finished -- It was due June 1st. We just finished a draft MOA with the Corps of Engineers on the Missouri River, and we went through very many meetings like Yvette is talking about where we would sit here and we would say, "This is what we believe," and then we'd have to struggle to get it incorporated in there, and sometimes it wouldn't come across because of cultural barriers, because of who-knows-what. And so what we did is we told the Corps, we said, "Let us write it. We need to write it because you're not understanding what we're talking about." So we just developed a 23-page consultation protocol for the Corps of Engineers with the Yankton Sioux Tribe, and we just submitted that on

June 1st. We also have attached on there four or five documents that explain some of the things that I hear the tribes being frustrated about.

And so I think there's a learning curve here that needs to be looked at, and perhaps an individual session on not only skill-building, but conversations on how you develop protocols that really capture the best of everybody. Because for us coming here, it's a heavy price that we put on our tribe, but we're willing to do it to come here, to travel to visit with you. It cost us \$1,900 to come. But it's important that we be here, but it's important that our time is valued, too.

And so I think that if you look at that consultation document that we did with the Corps of Engineers -- It's a draft. We just submitted it Friday, May 30th, but we're pretty proud of it. And it's taken us nine years to get to that point. And so I think, echoing what -- I'm proud of my former student, Yvette from Fort Hall. But I think it's something that needs to be looked at in a working session. And we can share that document with you, we can pull it off the Internet, off my e-mail if I can get on somewhere. But four lines is pretty woeful, and so I'd just like to make that as a matter of record.

The other thing is, you know, the Park Service is known for Bulletin 38, traditional cultural properties.

guess I would like to know for the record if you've done any TCPs in Yellowstone.

MS. SUCEC: There are tribes who have identified properties that have that stature, several, multiple in this park. I have not ever encountered as many in any national park.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: Okay.

MS. SUCEC: However, there has been a reluctance to formally request, officially request documentation because of potentially violating confidential information, in other words, making public, knowledge about those places in the agency.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: I think that's a conversation perhaps our tribal delegation can have and figure out what would be safe to talk about. And so I wanted to explore that more, with the consent of the other delegates. So that's just barely touching that topic.

The third thing, and pardon my naiveness about this question on the fencing, is the fencing a management issue?

MS. SUCEC: There is a handout in your packet on fencing to increase -- and I might ask Tom to speak to this -- native vegetation, and it does involve enclosing an area with fencing.

Tom, do you want to respond to that?

MR. OLLIFF: If you're referring to the Gardiner Basin Restoration Project?

MS. SUCEC: Yes.

MR. OLLIFF: If you've been to the

Gardiner Basin, you know it's an old agricultural field

that has gone from an exotic perennial to pretty much

annual mustards. And there's at least -- there's probably

700 acres that need to be worked on down there. We have

kind of a pilot project where we are proposing to fence

63 acres just to see what we can do with restoring that

area. Ken is doing some work north of the park, we're

doing some work in the park. We kind of held a joint

workshop to understand what techniques would work best.

And so we're working through a proposal to fence 63 acres

down pretty much in the flats toward Reese Creek, mostly,

from Stephens Creek.

Right now what we're working through is trying to understand the implications to wildlife with the fencing. You know, it's a difficult -- I mean, in the long term it will be very good for the wildlife; in the short term, there's some impacts.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Mr. Wise?

MR. WISE: This is about the third time I've been here in this meeting, and it's always about the bison. I want to ask that man over there (indicating) if they ever

1 went through with what I asked them to do, to make a study 2 on why the buffalo leave the park, what was their reason. 3 And I told him the reason why they leave the park: 4 Because they have to have this medicine plant to take care 5 of all their ailments in their body. So that plant grows 6 off the park; they know where it grows, and that's why 7 they leave the park. Nobody knows but the animals. 8 They're way smarter than our scientists, because they know 9 what to eat to take care of this disease they have, what they're getting blamed for, what the cows gave them a long 10 time ago.

And talking about the elk, they're carriers, too. How come the Montana ranchers don't refuse the elk? They're carriers, too.

That's my questions. This is the third time I've been here at this meeting. And that's why I ask that question; every time I come here, nothing has been done. Just like that man over there (indicating) was talking about; he said every time we come here, it's always the same thing. You don't listen to us.

MS. SUCEC: Mr. Wise.

MR. WISE: Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SUCEC: I'm pleased to say I remember that first meeting in the year 2000 when you asked us that question, and we did listen. We were fortunate enough to who are identified, seven who are referenced in treaties as being related with this landscape, including the Eastern Shoshone Tribe, the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe, the Nez Perce Tribe, and others. And one of the questions we asked tribal government and elders is that very question that you asked of us.

We remembered that. We felt that was important for us to pursue, certainly in response to your needs and an acknowledgement that we may not know, we recognize that we do not have all the answers. So we've asked each of those tribes if they could speak to the significance of buffalo to educate us and to also tell us, are there medicines, plant medicines that buffalo need in different habitats and that might contribute -- may be one of the contributing reasons why they migrate out of this zone and down to lower elevations.

So thank you. We listened. And I'd be happy to share those reports with as you they come back. We'll shortly be receiving the Eastern Shoshone draft.

MR. WISE: Thank you.

MS. SUCEC: You're welcome. Thank you.

MR. LOOKING HORSE: [Native language.] Thank each and every one of you, my relatives, for being here.

I want to talk about the buffalo a little bit this

morning. I want to kind of tell you about some of the elders, what they told me and what I learned about our life within the states here, South Dakota, Wyoming, Montana.

As the Lakota today, I guess we have a lot of educators and we know -- all of us have been going through school to learn about history, which is knowing our tribal government, our state government, and U.S. Government.

And a lot of times I feel like -- You know, I'm a spiritual leader, and a lot of times I feel like I should be a lawyer, because I feel like -- you know, just the things that we're up against within our territory.

Because I feel like our being raised by elders and hearing the stories -- Our people signed a treaty with the U.S. Government, the territory that we used to occupy.

When it comes to our people, we're aboriginal people that, you know, we have -- we say sacred teachings how we came upon the Earth. And the buffalo people, our first people were known as the buffalo people, and a bundle was brought to us. It's a sacred White Buffalo Calf Bundle that my family have taken care of for 19 generations, before there was any treaties that was signed. What happened to our people -- I have many grandfathers, great-great grandfathers that told stories how they killed the buffalo, starved the people put on the reservation,

concentration camp; a good Indian can get a pass to get off the reservation. So those are, I guess, what we grew up with, that we have to learn the treaties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And today, you know, we come here to protect the bison or the buffalo because this is our responsibility today which is left by our ancestors. Now, they prayed that our ways with the buffalo and our animal nations, our sacred teachings, that our people would live in good health. And buffalo -- You know, when something is not right, the animals, they know it. A lot of times they leave the area. And I know that, you know, there's no fence that was made. Long ago when they put the people on the reservations, they said put fences up. And if you go to a city, they spend thousands of dollars, millions of dollars probably to put up these big fences for the sound. Imagine that, people making a big wall to -- a fence that costs a lot of money because of the sound that these cars are making.

And here we are in Yellowstone talking about buffalo going off from their territory. And it's sad, because what the people read and what we put out in the world, all over the world, that buffalo are being massacred, killed at Yellowstone. It doesn't matter what country. We have Internet, we have a lot of media, and how we -- the news that goes out. And we're supposed to be -- You know, this

country was found on freedom of religion and yet our ways were outlawed, and it wasn't until 1978, the Freedom of Religion Act. And to me, I thought, you know, we were on the same page, trying to bring peace, unity, and how we can create a better to environment to live in as a global perspective.

I feel that, you know, something is not right.

Because we're taught as a spiritual leader not to speak out of anger, but when I come here to speak about the buffalo, it hurts my feelings; my heart is heavy that, you know, today as we speak this is happening. And I wish today that, you know, this would stop. We need to come to a better solution. As dignitaries, as spiritual people, we need to think about the future, how we can be a better person to create a better environment for our children.

Because this is not good that people are reading or that children are seeing. It's very painful, abusive, and we sure don't want history to repeat itself.

So today, you know, meeting here is very important.

It's very important because people back home are waiting to see what is going to happen. We hold our buffalo in a very high honor, respectful way. How they are is how the people are, and there's many teachings that goes with our way of life here within our territory. I would say that we need to really bring attention, because, you know -- I

said earlier that these animals, our ancestors spoke about how buffalo is important to us to be made a seal, or the eagle as an emblem or seal. So we need to protect them the best way that we can. [Native language.] Thank you.

MR. JIM STONE: I have, I guess, a few comments. The majority of the tribes here are members of ITBC, and I work for ITBC, so I guess directly, I work for the tribes that are here. And one thing that kind of came to my mind is that there's a real -- there's a conflict and a flaw in this system, the structure that's taking place today.

You have tribes here under the premise of consultation under the government-to-government relationship. And in that spirit, what these tribal people are telling you, you're supposed to take back and take direct action to implement their concerns. Yet, with the bison management in Yellowstone, the National Park Service has divested themselves of their authority by entering into the Interagency Bison Management Plan. We're in the seventh year of a fifteen-year plan. So all the comments the tribes are making here under consultation cannot be implemented by you because you're entered into an agreement that you're only halfway through.

So what I would like, for clarity for myself and the tribes that I work for, is the structure defined to me.

What is the mechanism? How is this going to make an

impact on the Interagency Bison Management Plan? Because on one hand, you're saying here we have a government-to-government relationship, and on the other hand, you're saying come to a public meeting; that's how you're going to impact the management of buffalo in Yellowstone, by standing with the everyday citizen and voicing your concerns. So, really, the consultation in the government-to-government relationship does not exist with regard to bison management in Yellowstone because you do not have the authority to implement anything the tribes want.

And we're not here out of self-serving, selfish purposes. We're here -- "We," I mean, some of the tribes, the tribes that are here, treaty rights, treaty responsibilities, trust responsibilities. And as Indian people nationwide and collectively, you know, we have that longstanding relationship with the buffalo, and we treasure it, just like the rest of the country views the buffalo. You know, you look at -- multiple times the buffalo is used as an image to represent states and different agencies, and they hold it in reverence. We hold it in a higher reverence. So we're not here to cause trouble or to break a system. We're here to get our concerns heard and acted on as a government-to-government relationship responsibility. And I don't see where it's

going to happen with bison. You know, and if there's a mechanism whereby it can operate, then I would like that put on a piece of paper, you know, the comments from here that go to the National Park Service.

Now, in order for the tribes to get their voice heard, do they need to have a consultation meeting with APHIS and express the same things? Because you've got APHIS, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, and two Montana departments as a part of that. So do the tribes need to voice their concerns in consultation with all three of the federal agencies in order to get something changed? And I don't think it's going to play any part because the Interagency Bison Management Plan is drafted; it's got goals, it's got objectives. I don't see a mechanism for change inside of there.

And if I'm wrong, I would like to be wrong. You know, I would like you to illustrate to me how we could coordinate as tribes to impact a change. You know, because we understand that you people work for an agency and entity that you may not -- actually, I hope you don't support what's going on. I hope you feel as badly as we do. And we would like to work to change it, and that's why a lot of the tribes are here, and that's why our president expressed his concerns about whether there is even going to be an impact. Because tribes have a power,

they have a lot of authority, and they can work outside of a system. If this system isn't going to get the tribes what they need, then they need to work through other avenues to impact a change.

So, really, I would like to know if there is a mechanism for change within the Interagency Bison Management Plan that tribes have access to, and how do we do that? Because right now, it's really not -- I don't see that mechanism. I don't think this meeting is that mechanism. But we're here basically to hear your concerns and your issues.

And I know we're kind of missing a lot of the presentations from your staff, but, you know, for the tribes that are invested in researching this, we kind of know what you're doing. And the small things, they're insignificant in face of the big picture. The tribes are here to address the situation of the ongoing slaughter. And we're in the seventh or eighth winter of a fifteen-year plan. How do we change that? And if we can't, then we need to come up with an alternative plan to impact that change.

That's my comments.

MR. QUINN: Thank you, Jim.

I've got a comment, too. It seems like the focus has been when the buffalo leave the park. Has there been any attention given to maybe doing a feasibility study to determine whether or not a quarantine facility could be developed within the park boundaries, for instance, Stephens Creek?

Second, has there been any feasibility study to determine what something like that might cost? Because I think when it comes to the costs, I would think that all of the entities involved could help maybe try and raise the funding to build those facilities, whether it be through congressional testimony to save a national treasure -- Like we mentioned yesterday, the buffalo is the symbol of the Department of the Interior, but, yet, the Department of the Interior is not doing anything to save that symbol and the buffalo itself.

So you would think that one of the options that should be explored is the quarantine facilities within the Park Service themselves. I know Montana would still be a player, but at least it would take away some of the decision-making processes and leave that decision up to the federal government entities.

I want to take this mic over to Kristine. She's had her name up there for a while.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you.

And after you speak, I might suggest we take a short break.

MS. SUCEC: And, Chris, would you like to respond to Mr. Stone before we break?

MS. LEHNERTZ: Yes.

MS. MARVILL: Some our of tribes wanted clarification on who truly has jurisdiction over the bison in the park. According to your documents here (indicating), dead carcasses that are distributed out are done under the jurisdiction of the State of Montana. You have no record other than a newspaper article on how that's done. All the other national parks that we have MOAs with, even the carcasses are considered a resource of the park and they're transferred by the park, but within Yellowstone that's not the case. And where do you find the accountability for those carcasses that are distributed?

The other question was with the quarantined animals.

According to your documents, both within here (indicating) and the Record of Decision, it says once an animal is removed and put into quarantine and is handled on a regular basis, it's no longer considered free-roaming bison of Yellowstone National Park, which ultimately would mean they would be transferred over as livestock to the State of Montana. So then, again, where does the jurisdiction of surplus Yellowstone bison fall?

MR. WALLEN: Kristine, you asked a very good

question. And the way the issue came to a head in 2000 was through many court cases, and the court cases led to a negotiated settlement where each individual agency had their assigned duties in how we implement the overall action.

The answer to your question here is that we hold responsibility for keeping the animals from leaving the park at the Reese Creek boundary. Our responsibilities are to catch them in the catch pen, consign the animals to Our partners take over that action at that our partners. That's a very different process than how point in time. bison management at other national parks work, where the tribal members come into the interior of the park and take direct possession. This last winter, that possession was directly to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, APHIS. the west side of the national park, it's a little bit different because the animals are not encountered until they're actually leaving the national park, and it's our state partners that implement the aggressive action to remove animals.

Much of that revolves around the legal matters and the negotiations that were put in place and resolved through mediation in the year 2000 that led to our management decisions.

Does that help?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MARVILL: I think it does.

MR. WALLEN: That only tells you what the situation is, and it probably doesn't make it more comfortable for us getting through the process.

Is this our opportunity to move to a break?

MR. QUINN: Are you going to try to answer the quarantine facility within the park boundary question?

MR. WALLEN: Alvah, that's a really good question, and we addressed that as a study option ten, fifteen years ago. And, again, it was negotiated. It was strictly a negotiation. And we looked at that as a part of the evaluation of the environmental impact statement process. The costs that go into that, I can't recite the precise cost, but it's incorporated into our final environmental impact statement that was put forth in the year 2000. It's very high, and I want to -- a ballpark figure is a couple of million dollars, I think is where that came to after they did those assessments in the late 1990s.

There's a philosophical argument that was put forth during that planning process, and there was a great deal of argument about whether that was an appropriate type of activity to be conducted by the National Park Service, or was it more appropriately assigned to one of our partner agencies. And the decision at the time was that it was

more appropriately assigned to one of our partner agencies, and those two agencies that were designated included the wildlife agency for the State of Montana, Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks. Because we were hoping that our transfer would be from wildlife as they wandered Yellowstone National Park, when they move into quarantine they remain designated as wildlife and go away from the system here as wildlife. And so that's why the Fish, Wildlife & Parks group was designated. The complication comes with the fact that these animals are -- some of these animals, I should say, are infected with brucellosis, and then that becomes an agriculturally managed disease nationally, and APHIS became a co-partner in quarantine with Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks.

So our hope is that those two partners represent the full partnership well and are able to implement quarantine operationally. And I do have a few comments on how that's being studied at this point in time, with the ultimate goal that quarantine becomes an operational program for bison management to manage that risk of animals in that risk zone around the boundary. And I'd like to wait and finish sharing that with my talking points after the break, if you don't mind.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And so we will take a break here in just a moment. I did want to kind of respond to many

of the comments from this morning.

Maybe at the end of the day, what we all agree is that there needs to be a better solution. I think we all agree to that. The Park Service is in a partnership with other federal agencies and states. It doesn't mean we have the same mission as those agencies or that we agree on everything, and I think that is a place where there is a conflict that we hope can work towards a more positive solution. In the Interagency Bison Management Plan, there are two goals: One is the goal of disease management in terms of brucellosis and protecting livestock, and the other goal is to have a free-ranging herd of bison. Some people see those goals as mutually exclusive, and we are trying to say that those goals can come together and we can achieve both.

If I might say, this season for the Park Service, it was a very difficult year, and we saw tears and we saw anger and we saw a lot of things for our employees, for our superintendent, for everyone who saw the bison leave the park. And it was very difficult, and it's in many ways the antithesis of what our mission feels like to us. The only piece of light we were kind of able to hold onto is that at the end of the year, we were able to keep over 300 bison in the Stephens Creek facility, and when we had green-up in the park, we were able to move them out of

facility and back into the park. And so it perhaps was the smallest of victories we could find in the year, but it was something that all of us felt like was some progress that we could make toward the bison.

And so, you're right, the Interagency Bison Management Plan is a Record of Decision and it is a legal document. One of the pieces that the Park Service is relying on and that we have spoken to the General Accountability Office about is, there is, within the framework of the Interagency Bison Management Plan, an approach called adaptive management. And through adaptive management, we evaluate the actions of all the partners under the plan and we talk about what we can change within that framework.

One of the things the superintendent has talked about being a priority, and something she really wants to talk about and be able to solve, is when we have bison that are negative for brucellosis, we should be able to find a place for them to go and live, even if it's not to come back into the park. Perhaps it's to live with tribes on tribal ground, perhaps it's other places. And we have to make progress on that. And that may seem like a small step among all the steps we'd like to have for bison, but she's very committed to saying we have to have the conversations. There are laws that don't allow us to ship

bison, and we need to partner together to talk about how can those laws be changed. And I think there is some real opportunity for meaning in our dialogue to find ways that we can do that. And these are small steps perhaps, but they're large progress.

So I guess I would just let us go to break saying that we'll come back with some more conversations. This dialogue has been very important, and very important for us to hear from you all, and I hope that we can turn it into work that has meaning and makes a difference for the bison.

How long do we want to take for break?

MS. SUCEC: How about ten minutes? Is ten minutes satisfactory?

(No audible response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: So we'll be back a little bit before 11.

(A brief recess was taken.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Before the break, we talked about coming back and going into our presentations with additional bison information. I'm wondering if it might be okay with the group if we change that agenda just a little bit and maybe spend some time talking with each other about how we can best take advantage of the opportunity for tribes to have a meeting with the

partners, the bison management partners.

Not loud enough? Is that better?

Okay. I'm wondering if we can have a conversation now, instead doing the bison presentation of additional information, if we can talk with one another about how we could best work together to provide the opportunity for tribes to have a face-to-face meeting with the Interagency Bison Management Partners. I mentioned briefly that the superintendent would very much support a letter from the tribes asking for a face-to-face meeting with those folks, and I wondered if there was a way that we could talk now about whether you all would like to do that, if there's any way that we can provide support to that, and what we might be able to do over the next several weeks to see that that can happen. So if people are agreeable with that, we could use that as our next topic.

MR. JOHN STONE: Would it be possible to maybe start an advisory group from the tribes that want to be involved in the process, to maybe formulate a formal advisory team to work with all of these issues?

MS. LEHNERTZ: You know, I will take ideas and put them on the flip chart. So I'll capture that one, an advisory group from the tribes.

MS. RIDDLE: Yes. I had one question about the comment before the break. It was said that there's a law

that keeps them from transporting the bison to like reservations and everything for those reservations that want them. Well, my question is, why is there an exception that the bison can be transported over state lines and through Montana to slaughter?

MR. WALLEN: You guys ask hard questions. And probably the best answer to that question is that the law was put in place and enforcement of that law is by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and that's the only agency that allows us to be able to do exactly that. So the APHIS organization that managed animal transport over the winter is the group that regulates that activity.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Yes.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: We really appreciate the Park Service representatives for being here and trying to answer our questions. And, you know, I'm very deeply disappointed that the superintendent doesn't place importance on meeting with so many people that came so far. You know, it takes us a full day to get here practically, and we've been here a couple of days already. And so I think for her to be in the proximity and not meet with us is somewhat indicative of how the tribal voice is treated, you know, with the fate of the buffalo. We've been expressing concern about this a number of years.

And I know decisions aren't made among the people in

this room, really. I mean, I feel for you. You're charged with a responsibility and you try to fulfill it and, you know, you have other forces at play. And we all know the bottom line, what's driving the slaughter, you know, is the Department of Livestock. And so my comment right now -- I mean, I want to express that disappointment that we're hearing secondhand the superintendent feels this way and feels that way. And we appreciate your being the messenger, but we need to hear it directly.

Secondly, I want to kind of echo different sentiments that have been expressed here that the tribes do not have a viable role in the management plan, and so we have to --we're spitting in the wind. And I've talked to different folks, you know, and I think right now, I think to really respond to the suggestion of meeting with the IBMP, I think you know, Kristine has had some -- ITBC has had some experience already in trying to be at that table and having no voice, no vote. You know, tribal interests, sovereign nations are reduced to one silent voice or presence at the table that determines the fate of what's central to the Plains cultures.

This is something that has been happening repeatedly throughout history. You know, we express our concerns, and you're hearing it here again today. You know, we're not here for material possession of the bison. We want to

see to their survival, even if we don't take them home.

And it's not self-interest, it's not for gain, and that's,
you know, a different language than what's happening.

And so I think to properly -- I mean, we want to have that woableze we talked about to put our ideas out there. And I think -- You know, we're always seeking permission from the oppressors, basically has been our lot for a long time; you know, "may I please," "please hear me." And that was my question. And I know you're not decision-makers here. How does our voice factor in? I've been around a long time, 11 years. I go home, you know, tend my family, but I've been here a lot, too. And the tribes, you know, I feel like I represent at least the interest of the tribe because that's what drives me, is people's concern for the buffalo. I have other things to do. You know, I've got a life, I should. This has been my life. And it's because people care.

You know, the buffalo helped us survive, really, and showed us a way of life, and so we have that, you know, reciprocal responsibility that we feel very deeply. We can't just walk away and say, 'bye, we ate your flesh, now let's go eat at McDonald's. It's not the way it works for us. We have a responsibility to the buffalo. And, you know, you've been here with them, too, and you cannot not feel that responsibility, too. But it's not you that make

the decisions to ship them to slaughter. And I keep wondering, myself -- you know, I saw a fetus gutted out of a pregnant mother. She was shot over in West, gutted.

And I walked up there and watched them removing the fetus and wondering, could it have been a white buffalo calf?

And I know, you know, in the capture facility, there are heavily pregnant cows loaded and shipped to slaughter, and I don't doubt they're born en route to slaughter. And my question always is, what happens if you capture a white buffalo, a white buffalo calf is born? That's significant to our survival as human beings.

And so I think I'm going to propose something now, and I've been feeling -- you know, feeling the energy and talking to folks as we took our break, and we'd like some time, a tribal caucus for us to retreat briefly, pray among ourselves, talk among ourselves, and then we can come back with one voice in saying, yeah, this is what we want to do, this is what we can do; rather than, you know, spitting in the wind, feeling like it. Let's talk among ourselves and let's get our energy together. Collective energy is the way we used to live. And we're coming in fragmented pieces with good thoughts, you know, and we need to put that together and to interact with you in a meaningful way, even though you're not decision-makers, but we need to honor your presence, too, and to contribute

to what you're trying to do.

So I'm appealing, you know, to all tribal folks. It doesn't mean you have to be brown or have a DNA test, you know, if you represent tribal interest. If we could spend some time briefly now -- we're heading into lunchtime, so we can reconvene after lunch, and by then we would have an opportunity to put our energy together and to pray and to continue this discussion in a meaningful way. So is that agreeable, to ask for consensus? I'll just say, is there any objection to a tribal caucus?

(No response.)

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: [Native language.]

MR. CLAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, we've been at this buffalo deal for 11 years, that I know of. And the very first time I got involved in this deal, Rosalie was the one that got picked up in this neighborhood here when the buffalo got killed. Her and her grandson was arrested. Our tribal leaders back home said, "You better go up and see what's going on." They said, "You go down to Lame Deer." I went to Lame Deer, there was nothing down there. They said to go up here, so I came up here.

And ever since then, I've been here. This last few years, I skipped. But we talk about these buffalo. These buffalo mean a lot to all different tribes; they use it a different way. They have ceremony, things like that.

They use it in different ways and they believe in it in different ways. So this buffalo means a lot to the Indian people throughout the country.

And then here, we let the park rangers -- I don't know, I think it's an idea, a way of getting the buffalo slaughtered. They said they've got a boundary line. But when the Creator created these buffalo, they didn't have no boundary lines for them. They roamed anywhere throughout the world, wherever they wanted to go. And that's what happens here, when the Europeans come in, they slaughter them, they sell them on the black market, they make big money on them. And then when we want buffalo from the park, we have to request for them. Why don't they let them Europeans -- They decide what they call boundary line, the white man's way. Why don't they get them to do the same like they do to the Indian people? We have to ask for it, and they let them guys kill them free out there and they make money on it.

When they kill the buffalo here in the park, why don't they give it out to the Indian Reservations? Because the buffalo is a good, Iean meat for a diabetic. And the cows -- the people talk about these cattle, and the cattle have got more authority than anything else in this world, no matter where you go. They burn the timber and things like that so they have more grazing land. Why do the

1 cattle have more authority than the buffalo? Why don't 2 they turn around and put the buffalo first and give them 3 the priority, first priority, instead of just slaughtering 4 them? 5 How many -- This is a question I'm going to ask: How 6 many with brucellosis did they find lately here? 7 MS. LEHNERTZ: In bison or cattle? 8 MR. CLAIR: Yes, buffalo, how many with 9 brucellosis did they find? 10 MR. WALLEN: We test them the best way that we 11 can, and we find more than half have had exposure to 12 brucellosis. 13 MR. CLAIR: So what do they do with the ones 14 that's got the brucellosis? 15 MR. WALLEN: They go away. 16 MR. JOHN STONE: What is exposure? 17 MR. WALLEN: Exposure is a way of measuring 18 whether an animal has encountered the bacteria sometime 19 previously in its life. 20 MR. JOHN STONE: Is it transmittable with just a 21 minimum exposure, though? 22 MR. WALLEN: In some it is, in some it's not. 23 MR. CLAIR: Do you see this wall here 24 (indicating)? You might as well walk up next to the wall and talk to that wall: Wall, wall, can you hear me? 25

That's what we're doing as Indian people. We come up here, we talk about these buffalo and things like that, we're just talking to the wall. That's where we stand. We're not getting nowhere. It's been the same thing every year. I've been here 11 years, ever since this lady got arrested. That's where I got started. I've been here for 11 years. That little girl running around here, that was her dad that was arrested with her grandma. So I'm glad to see her here, with the way they treated her. Really glad that she still comes back.

So this is what's been going on all these years, we've been talking to a wall, brick wall. We're not getting nowhere. There's a lot of good words that's been put out here, to ask them if they could go this way and then if it's possible they could -- "Yeah, well, we'll look into it." "We're working on it." You're still working on it. So that's where we're at now, at today. We've been fighting for these buffalo because it means a lot to the Indian people.

That's about it. Thank you.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: I think we are looking -- I mean, we're not telling you what to do, it's voluntary for the tribes, but Arvol is going to -- and anybody that wants to help us with the prayer, just go. He's offering, you know, prayer for us right here just out the door. And

we can kind of establish a good comfort level for folks, and maybe we can collect our thoughts and then come back and continue this discussion.

(A recess was taken for prayer and caucus.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Welcome back, everyone, from lunch. I hope everyone was able to get something to eat while we were taking our midday.

I would like at this point to turn the microphone over and hear from whoever wants to start about the tribal caucus.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: Actually, I have some comments, but I'm going to draft Jim, again, Jim Stone.

Nephew, you come here.

And I think just the opening comments, I think he did say some good things here, and unfortunately, we kind of missed the opportunity to bring the Park Service back in.

I think his comments were very appropriate. And then I can kind of share with you some of the details. But I want Jim to replay and repeat.

MR. JIM STONE: I don't know, things come off the top of my head, so they never sound the same the second or third time. But I think a little of what we were discussing was based on some of my initial comments about the consultation process and this consultation process not having I guess a clear and defined mechanism into

impacting what's going on currently. You know, most times, consultation with tribes leads to a result. I don't see where the result is here. I don't see where this consultation is going to lead to the buffalo not being slaughtered next year. And that's because you guys are handcuffed by the Interagency Bison Management Plan. And part of the discussion has been working through your guys's systems of if it is -- I'll sit down. I have a couple notes, one or two words I can't remember off the top of my head all the time.

Adaptive management, actually. If the Interagency Bison Management Plan is governed by an adaptive management process, now, are there policies in place that will allow us to utilize that? And by "us," I mean, you as the participant. Since we're not a participant, how do we -- how do we direct our efforts to get our desired result? Because ultimately, I believe what we want is what you want, you know, and I can only speak for -- my feelings for the National Park Service. You know, because you guys are trusted with the nation's treasures, basically. It's in your guys's hands to take care of it, and we want to support you. Our support may not necessarily be on that level with the Montana Department of Livestock, the Montana Fish & Wildlife Service, might not even be there with APHIS or the Forest Service, you

know, but in this issue, you guys are the caretakers.

So we want to know exactly how the process works. And that's some of what the tribal caucus would be; you know, put together some of our minds, our best minds, our people of science, to determine how we can impact that system.

And if we can't impact the system that's in place, how do we get rid of it completely? And that's not you're -- you guys can't tell us that, because you guys don't have the authority.

We need to know who has the authority and the mechanisms that are in place. We're not telling you to advocate one way or the other. We just want you to explain your systems to us, because they're not our systems. You know, we have real direct ways of getting things done in tribal situations. We're not at that level of -- Most of the tribes have barely hit the basic level required in the Indian Reorganization Act, and that's, I don't know, 70-some years in the making. And you guys have went way beyond what's in the IRA standards, you guys have bureaucracy on top of bureaucracy. So a lot of times we're confused.

I don't want my comments put into a little box that quotes me something, I want a directed response. You know, and if that's where you're at, if that's your job, if that's Mary Bomar's responsibility, you know, I want an

issue that's elevated and I want a response back -- I'm saying "I." We. But what I would like to see is something from this meeting that's directed to somebody above you, a letter, a memo, an internal memo saying, "Out of that meeting here are the key issues the tribes want elevated and here's the questions they want answered"; and I want to be privy to that, and I think all the tribes do. You know, we want to be courtesy copied. Or if you get it to me, I'll make sure everyone gets it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then we'll know whose door to knock on next time we're somewhere where there's decision-makers and say, "What did you do with this? The issue has been elevated from this level, what's going on here?" And when it gets high enough to where there's people in positions impacted by politicians, then that's our playground. Because we have tribal leaderships, tribal chairmen, everyone has a congressman or senator that's up on Indian issues and requires an Indian vote at times. So those are the people we'll have to ask the question of your bosses. need the issue to get out of this level and get elevated. Because if it gets smothered into, like I was saying before, weeks for the transcripts from the court reporter to get finalized and returned to you and then you to review them and then you to send them to your check and the other check, we'll be a year before it gets anywhere.

So I would like, if nothing else out of this I guess tribal caucus, and maybe Rosalie could express those, a couple of concrete requests from the tribe that you elevate, through memo form or whatever your internal policies are that govern it, you know, just for clarification so that we don't feel like we've wasted our time. So by next year, we actually want to see something done. You know, like my elders over there saying, 11 years, "Hello, Mr. Wall." Eleven years ago they probably didn't have that climbing wall over there. So things have changed a little bit, but it's just the walls that have changed, you know, it's not the actual problem.

And I like to plan things about two years out, maybe three. You know, I don't want to give it that extra part. Because I know the tribes have power, and it's evident and everybody here knows it. Look at the presidential situation that's going on. You know, I'm in South Dakota, Ervin's in Montana. Look at how many visits they made to Indian Country. So, I mean, we have the ability to impact things at that level, you know, and it's like that's always in our back pocket. And that's something we can bring to weigh in on an issue, and this is an important issue across Indian Country.

I want to see this consultation have an impact. I can't say whether the other ones have had an impact or

not, but this is a recommendation so that you can say, "We actually had a consultation that had meaning, that, actually, the tribal participants that left felt like something was accomplished." Because we spent the whole morning basically hearing outrage about how the other ones haven't got to that. You know, if, as an agency, you want to move forward and make progress, that's one recommendation you should probably strongly consider, is outside of putting things in a box (indicating), a memo to somebody above you.

You know, I know most agencies have a process to elevate issues. You know, if something happened here that you don't feel comfortable, you don't think it's within your decision-making ability, you elevate it. We want our issues elevated so that we have a record to follow.

Because otherwise, we're going to go see -- talk to

Jim Cason next time we talk to him and he's not going to have a clue what we're talking about. We'd at least like him to have a heads-up and know what we want so it doesn't take us 20 years.

You know, and that's just kind of where I've taken my comments earlier about the consultation of where I don't think they have an impact. And we're going to be reviewing the Interagency Bison Management Plan to see how the adaptive management policy works, because we want to

make some requests. You know, we want to get some action taken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think that's something that you can take out of this, as well. You know, under that adaptive management philosophy, the comments that the tribal people are making, the requests they are making, you need to bring them into the IBMP next time there's a meeting and say, "Here's requests we're getting to adapt the Interagency Bison Management Plan. These are things the tribes want us to do." And that's what this caucus will be doing; as we get a working group put together, we'll be creating issues that we want action taken. And we'll present them to you in whatever format you need. If you need tribal governments to each write a letter, you know, we need to know the person that needs to get that. You know, who is your signatory on that? Because that's probably the person that's responsible for making that request for change. Who sits in the lead position?

And we're going to continue to work within your system, because, you know, that's a way to get things done. But in light of the political climate, you know, getting a new president, probably getting new secretaries, getting a lot of new things, we may run out of patience and we might have to start taking more direct results.

Because it actually worried me when you said that the GAO

report was recommendations, that that actually didn't scare you, you know. You know, you could throw it away. Like I said, I know you worked in EPA before. I know GAO reviewed one of our tribal programs; we couldn't just throw it away. So I don't know where that means nothing, but if that's a valid and true comment, I'm going to quote you on that.

MS. LEHNERTZ: I hope you won't quote that I said we'd throw it away.

MR. JIM STONE: Well, no, I'm saying that you said it was recommendations.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And we would weigh it very heavily.

MR. JIM STONE: Well, we make comments that you weigh very heavily and they get reduced to a box (indicating). You know, I don't want to get into that argument. I'm just saying if a GAO review is recommendations that are heavy or light, they really don't force a change. Because my -- I guess my reading into the history of some of this was that the hearings were requested by members of Congress because they wanted change. Now, if by working through GAO to get a review of the process doesn't cause change, then we need to go back to those same congressional people that have issues with it and say, "Don't ask for another hearing through GAO,

because they're saying that's a recommendation that they consider heavily, but it's not an action of change, it doesn't cause things." You know, there's no cause and effect. If we got the same report at the tribal level, we would have to change. But this Interagency Bison -- That's what I'm saying. I don't think consultation can impact this process. It almost seems like this thing is made of steel or something; I mean, it's going to take a lot of work to break it.

But we talk with congressional people that are very concerned about what's going on, and they're doing what they think they can do to cause change, but if they're not getting that change, then we need to give them some better advice; you know, "Don't ask GAO to review it no more, but schedule some hearings in Indian Country, get our comments from our tribal leadership, government-to-government, get some directives coming out of it." You know, maybe we need some legislation, maybe we need laws changed, like was recommended -- was brought up.

But we need to know -- Like was said, \$1,900 spent, thousands, \$2,000 spent to come here. If this ain't the agent for change, we need to spend our thousands on something else. And like I said, I know it's not the staff's place to tell us the up or down. But we would just like to know the processes that are in place, what's

your paperwork, what's your system that we have to go through, so that we can figure out where we need to apply the pressure. We just want to get in the game. Because I don't like seeing what's going on. Nobody does. Nobody here does, nobody in this room does. And it's a mentality that a lot of tribal people face. And we know the basis for it; you know, the buffalo was killed to kill us. Well, we're here, they're there; you know, we're all still here. You can try to baffle us with science or whatever, but we've got our own science. We've got our own people going to school and getting educated, and that changes the ballgame. You know, we're not impressed with a PowerPoint presentation. We probably could do our own; probably could do a nice one, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, I think there's an evolution going on that if you don't like what's going on, you need to embrace the tribal viewpoint. Because we want change for the better. You know, nowhere else do you find people come from all across the country for issues like this where there might not even be a direct impact. But historically, tribes traveled all over the place, so we're all concerned. And like I say, we just want you to impart with us the basis and the foundation of how to change your system so we can work within the system. Otherwise we'll have to go rogue on you -- No.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: Thank you, Jim. I think you spoke well on our behalf.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just wanted to kind of strengthen his comments a little bit. You know, the Park Service folks here are probably between a rock and a hard place, you know, with your responsibility, trying to answer our questions. And we want change in what's happening with -- Our number one concern is the buffalo, you know. And I've seen this too many times. I think practically -- close to 1,100 in '96, '97 and what's happened this year. I didn't think it could happen again, but it did, in those numbers.

And so we want change. And as Jim said, you know, I think we pretty much want the same thing, but we're in different cultures. There's the government agency culture and the way it works; and we have some sympathy for that, you know, mainly because how do you pour water uphill. And that's where you're at and that's where we're at. But because we have maintained our status -- And that has not been easy, you know, starting from a time when we were decimated by disease and by the military. We've still endured. And, you know, we speak the same language, no matter which tribe we're from. We've sat here and looked at each other and talked, and we're on the same page. You know, it's frustrating when you -- even if you speak English, if you say something and what you're saying is

not understood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Something is happening -- This slaughter, the energy of it, I think, personally, is out of control. Ahniyan, I call it. And I wish Arvol were here, he'd help me translate. I try to translate for him at times, because I know he's very eloquent in Lakota and struggles with But likewise, sometimes I need help. English. is, you know, the need to just, like this (gesturing). That's the best I could describe it. We have come through that. Just everything we do is like -- you know, everything we try to do for survival gets knocked down. We're in a place of poverty and all the things that come with it. And that's the level of ahniyan. Oppression, I guess, if you will; it's kind of a lighter translation of ahniyan. Poverty is not a choice. We didn't raise our hands, you know, who wants to be poor? It's not by choice, it's very deliberate, it's by design. And we've endured that.

And we see the same thing happening with the buffalo. You know it, you feel it. The driving force is not from your hearts, not from within, it's coming from elsewhere; we recognize that. And so, you know, we need -- One of the thoughts that came out is we need to help to design a tribal road map to help National Park Service. It's not you talking at us, it's us talking to each other. This is

the road map we want, this is the path that we're on.

We're not seeking permission of the oppressor. But I hear a lot of determination, you know, we're needing to elevate failing -- You know, IBMP, we had a tribal voice on there, observer status. That failed, of course. We're still trying to figure out, you know, consultation, what impact it has. It's kind of like trying to hold a handful of sand: Here's our comments, and, you know, they're gone like sand, holding sand, our concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, basically, as Jim said, we want change, and we're going to go after change. We've got to figure it out, where are the decisions happening. There was the management plan we tried to input somehow, and the GAO report says that the management plan is not being upheld. So we need a meeting, tribal meeting. And we're going to need, again, you know, some input from the Park Service, of course. It's in your face, the issues. You're surrounded by the buffalo, charged with the responsibility of managing them somehow. I don't buy the disease issue too much, you know, until I see an elk management plan or field mice or pronghorn -- you know, pronghorns are at great risk because of the buffalo killing, the hazing. mean, you know, it's like, come on, let's get some common sense.

So we want to go on common sense and try to find that

point of change. And we suspect that we're going to have to go all the way up and move forward towards what we are calling a buffalo summit. We need APHIS, Forest Service, Park Service, Ag, we need those folks at the table who can make decisions, who can effect change. You know, we know we can't do it here. But we can at least honor each other's efforts and intentions. And I will make this statement on behalf of everybody here: We don't, we cannot even consider this consultation when the superintendent chooses not to be here. So it isn't consultation. We do respect -- we're thankful for your hospitality, for listening to us. It's not for nothing. You know, we can hear each other as we try to move forward for finding that place of change.

And so the buffalo summit will be the consultation.

And we're asking for the Park Service's help in sending that message upstairs, as we will do, too. And it's going to be more than us, it's going to be heads of state of our tribes and the agencies who do make the decisions;

Congress, if need be, if we need to go to those levels.

We have a lot of questions that still need to be answered, and we need to do it in honesty. I don't know why this is happening, why does this, you know, go on. You know, I have no prejudice about the elk, but I keep my eye on them, how they're treated compared to the buffalo, so I

have that contrast. When you see that contrast, you know something is pretty askew here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You don't make policy, you know, somebody makes those policies. And we're perfectly willing -- We put up there "to begin cultural skill level of NPS." You have your culture, we have ours; we need to have that dialogue and More than once, we've been asked, why are that exchange. the buffalo so significant to you, and we look at each other like, I can't believe the question. But it's our language, you know, when we talk about buffalo. And so we need to begin sharing that, you know, because buffalo are here. I'd like to propose we have a buffalo kill ceremony for every single buffalo that's killed from here on, to teach the sanctity of the life of a sacred species. Arvol does that once in a while for hundreds, thousands of buffalo. Why do we do that? To teach ourselves something, to hold ourselves to the line, to discipline ourselves. And so that kind of exchange needs to happen. You know, we're perfectly willing to help with that, bring common sense, you know. It's like somebody was saying, it's illegal to transport buffalo -- I've heard that before -- but you can transport them to slaughter, so somehow that doesn't fit, you know, my common sense.

So I think we have a challenge, all of us, whether you're Park Service, tribes, to find that place of change.

And we're willing to roll up our sleeves and work. We have good minds, good energy to do that.

You know, earlier, I was sensing this frustration that was getting higher and higher; we're asking questions, we're getting answers that don't answer our questions or that don't make sense. And so now, you know, to regroup and to get our energy back and say we've got to think together and act together, and that's the same gesture we make to you. We're all trying to reach the same end, I believe.

My daughter once asked me -- You know, she's had to see me gone a lot. She said, "Mom, if it were the last standing buffalo, would they kill it?" And I've called it pathological politics, not at your level, but upstairs. Yeah, they would. Actually, before I answered her, she looked at me, she said, "Oh, never mind." She knew. Could happen. Politics is such that it could happen. That's the threat.

So, anyway, that's where we're at, we want a summit.

We'll build towards a summit; educate ourselves, talk

among ourselves, strategize, and get the decision-makers

to this summit. And that's the message. And we'll relay

the message ourselves, too. Smoke signals. Somebody is

going to have to teach somebody in Washington, D.C., how

to decipher smoke signals.

Jim, that's your job.

MR. JIM STONE: As soon as we get done, we should hear nothing but the clack of that little telegraph, "They're on the warpath in Yellowstone."

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: And we've set a challenge for yourselves, too. One of the challenges that we've set for ourselves is to reach that one voice. I think we think alike, so that shouldn't be too hard. But also, you know, within the cattle industry, we need to say, "Hey, you folks get the bad rep for just a few hundred head of buffalo out there in Yellowstone." That needs to be kind of refocused. It's not buffalo versus cattle at all. It's just this particular area that is so troublesome. That needs to be brought into better perspective, too, for those folks that are just trying to make a living raising cattle. It's contentious, these lands right along the border.

So we're all headed for a buffalo summit. And we'll have our planning meetings along the way. Here and there we may call on the Park Service to clarify things for us, you know, particularly about the Bison Management Plan, why is this not working, what happened there, those kinds of issues. And so that's -- you know, we've heard a high level of commitment to working to that end. And, you know, we're going to need help from among ourselves

and from different agencies.

The IBMP, right there, after our planning meeting, we're going to try to achieve woableze, which is looking at all the perspectives, all the finer details of this issue, to then input at IBMP. And you can probably help us with that, you know. But we'll continue moving towards a buffalo summit. Send somebody to the IBMP camp, but, you know, we've got to keep our sights on the consultation as we want it, as we need it to be.

Erv, do have any comments? Alvah? Because I think I'm trying to summarize, but I know you guys really inputted a lot, so I'm going to ask you to elaborate a little bit.

MR. CARLSON: Thank you.

You know, before we broke with you people, we were going to talk about some kind of an advisory group to work along with you. Kind of what we came up with from the tribal side is that we would put together a group or a subcommittee of people that would come and meet with you on the issues. This morning we talked about it's supposed to be a consultation, but, actually, we don't really believe -- It kind of goes different ways. Things are already in place and we're just here talking about the same things, so we changed the agenda.

So kind of what we thought we would do is put together

this group, and if it's okay with you guys also, that we would put together a planning -- I guess, like we kind of came here unprepared also, maybe that we're just kind of out there, our different ways, and just getting together once a year. So a committee that would come out of the planning, the tribes would go back and have their planning of how they would like to work with you guys on the issue here on the management and try to get our ideas implemented, you know, over to guys. And from that subcommittee, we would send them back and meet with yourselves, the IBMP.

And then what we're planning from there is to -- you know, the big concern here this morning was that we're here with the same old thing every year. So what we want within this buffalo summit is to get back together, and it would be the upper-level people who do make the decisions, and also I guess your superiors, I guess. But if it needs to be, we would also have like maybe some of our congressional people, those kind of people that would really help us to get our message across and help us get something in place where we could work together.

And I guess the main concern here from the tribes is that they just want to be on the same level as everybody else on the planning and not -- Like Jim said, this plan is a fifteen-year plan, it's been in there eight years and

we're still really going nowhere with tribes having any input to it. So just what the tribes are always looking for is to have their voice heard and the things that they want really adhered to and not just come and say, "Well, yeah, we're looking at that" or "We're trying to do that" and never getting any answers to the questions, really true answers.

So that's kind of where we're coming at, is we just want to be on the same level of playing field as everybody else and, you know, have the true government-to-government relationship. And we're not just the public. There's Indian Nations out there that have a real interest or a part in this. And that's all that we're asking for. And maybe we can work out in the summit there some of the things we might want. We did have a lot of things that Yvette put down -- and maybe I'll have her talk about them here in a little bit, some of the ideas that we do have that we would like to see implemented. And even along the ways, you know, some even cultural training for your side to even what see what it is, you know, truly what we want.

And I know there's a group out here that is here, and they're always kind of maybe looked at as a little, you know, out there. But they've actually went out there and made themselves to learn -- I guess just to learn and experience the Indian side of it, the Indians'

perspective, what all of this means to them. And I think that's kind of what we're asking; you know, that your side take the time, I guess, just to learn what our perspective is. You know, there's a lot of, I guess the idea of livestock driving behind this. And I looked earlier at the people within the management team, you know, the livestock industry and just the different agencies that you have, the Park Service and Forest Service and those, and you don't see any tribes on there, which I think is the biggest -- the most important relationship there. And that's just what we're asking.

And we're all looking out -- and I know you guys are, as well, looking out for the good of these buffalo. But there's just a lot of things that we feel that the tribes are not having any input on, and that's where we want to be. And we did put down a lot of the concerns or the things that we would like to see. And I guess from here, just see how you feel about also us working together on the same level and having some good dialogue with each other about this and not coming back every year and just do the same old argument. It doesn't do us any good to be sitting here butting heads; you never get anywhere doing that. So we have to learn to work together and respect each other's ideas and that to really get somewhere. You know, that's the way I feel; you can butt heads with each

other for years and never get anywhere, but you've got to respect each other's I guess input or ideas about the way things are. So that's what we'd really like to see, is if we could just -- above all, we just want to be on the same level of playing field as everybody else in the decision-making, I guess, of this process.

Yvette, did you want to talk about some of the things that we had talked about?

MS. TUELL: Well, I guess a question I have before we do that, is it all right with everyone here if we go ahead and show the draft that we have so far, emphasizing that it's simply draft? Does anyone have a problem with it? I mean, these are not formally approved by any tribal government, but they're just reflection of the discussion that we had this morning.

MR. CARLSON: I think that they need to see it.

I think it's a good thing that we share it.

MS. TUELL: Okay, we've got three pages. We had a busy discussion.

MR. QUINN: Yvette, while you're getting that ready, I've got a comment for the Park Service.

Some of the frustration I think that we all have here today is coming from a lot of the meetings that we've had here in the past. And I can remember early on, when the EIS was being formulated, tribes were asked to take part

and comment on the EIS. The InterTribal Bison Coop at the time, based on comments from some of the tribes here, developed a seventh alternative, and that was the quarantine facility. For whatever reason, the Park Service didn't think that was very important or justifiable. But what was really surprising, the Park Service took the ITBC idea and turned around and did its own quarantine facility, what is now Corwin Springs. And we had a tribe at the time, Fort Belknap, that was willing to take some of the animals during the quarantine process. I don't know if any of you guys have had a chance to take a look at the seventh alternative that the InterTribal Bison Coop had developed at the time on that EIS, but it was a quarantine facility. And for whatever reason, it never materialized.

But, again, that goes to show some of the discussions that we have right here and ideas that we present to the Park Service and they don't go no place. That's where a lot of the frustrations are coming from. I just want to make that comment so that you guys are aware of that, you new ones.

Okay, Yvette.

MS. TUELL: This is the first draft from the representatives present here today on providing some definite feedback to the agencies on issues that we had

concluded that the agencies need help on. So we just started talking about things, and as we talked, I tried to the capture the concept of what we were saying. So emphasizing that it's draft -- And I'm not going to go through it word by word. I'm just going to go through bullet by bullet.

Recognizing the inherent ceremonial and treaty reserved rights held by the tribes, we would like to develop opportunities for more active tribal interaction into management on park lands. Recognize the individual sovereign status of the tribal governments and each respective tribal government decision-making process for each tribe. Providing the incorporation of tribal values and rights into the management decisions of the National Park Service. Development of tribal goals and objectives for the National Park Service. Provide a mechanism for tribal individuals to secure natural resource items for ceremonial purposes; for example, skulls and those types of things.

Recognizing the holistic interaction between people and the Earth and that it cannot be separated. Provide for the vitality, well-being, and healthy subsistence and traditions for tribes and tribal individuals, such as providing for diet and food and spiritual health. Must consider how management decisions will impact these

issues, and not only consider, but must protect those resources. Social and cultural impacts to tribes who may have become dependent on others to provide; and we had a big discussion, but I didn't quite capture that.

Require the wild, free-roaming for bison and other wildlife. Encourage tribal ceremonial and treaty harvest with other agencies. Have the National Park Service and the National Forest to actively support tribes on harvest on their respective lands; and recognizing that the National Park Service, that may be a little difficult. But we do ask that -- and we've asked this before, that the National Park Service be an active trustee for those tribes who have that right. Provide active opportunities for those tribes who have treaty rights to harvest on federal lands. Encourage treaty tribes to become more active in federal land management and push the Bureau of Indian Affairs leadership to provide active support for those federal lands as well.

Provide for the restoration of native plants, animals, and waterways. Focus on long-term management issues rather than individual projects and issues for the tribes. Develop a tribal subcommittee from this intergovernmental meeting to work on tribal issues. Provide technical assistance and funding for tribes to participate on that subcommittee. And it kind of -- we discussed exactly what

it would be called, whether it's a subcommittee or a working group. I mean, this is just an idea that we would like to follow up on. Provide tribal organization and other tribal educational entities with, I guess it was receiving bison. That was another issue that we discussed, and I didn't quite capture that comment. Encourage active tribal interaction. And I guess we're going to start repeating some of it, but I just tried to capture it as it was going along.

A big issue was developing legislative opportunities with states and federal legislators to support tribal issues and solutions for both the -- for tribal lobbying as well and start working with the candidates for supportive legislation. Require decision-makers to attend; and that was emphasized again and again. Provide for additional summits or forums for tribes to develop solutions or strategies for buffalo that can reflect tribal values and resources and importance.

How can this group support the development of future forums or summits? One that was proposed was a buffalo summit. And for those tribes here, like, for instance, the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, do we need to get formal approval? Because we have our own formal governmental consultation process with federal agencies. But we just started brainstorming these issues and the need for

developing some type of process or procedure for more improved, effective consultation with the National Park Service.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And some of these items here are simply notes, like identifying volunteers and tribal representatives who will be working on this. Develop partnerships with other tribal organizations. Encourage our young people to Encourage tribal self-sufficiency. attend. Future planning meetings. And, again, getting respective support from tribal councils. What we'd like to come up with is provide active comments to the federal agencies on the effectiveness of the existing plans and how to improve the implementation. Develop alternative management options that are not destructive to the wildlife or to other resources. Provide time for tribal caucuses prior to formal meetings with federal agencies to have more effective meetings. Again, develop partnerships with other organizations, tribal organizations. Raise the level of the consultation discussions to reflect all respective federal agencies that are relevant to this And there was a question that we discussed and we area. never we made a final decision regarding state and local governmental agencies.

We'd like to work to help the National Park Service develop somewhat of a road map on how we can be more

effective in the relationship. Work on educational and informational opportunities to help educate federal agencies on tribal cultural issues and values so that they can better understand the tribal perspective, from which they can make more informed decisions. Again, encourage our younger people to become more knowledgeable. And then here's, again, what we had called the tribal advisory committee, working group. To take advantage of the adaptive management approach that is detailed in the existing plan and give some input to have it be more effective, that will reflect tribal issues.

Let's see, some of the issues we talked about was, again, the development of some type of tribal plan or procedures or guidance or something -- we haven't quite agreed on what we're going to call it -- so that we can have much more effective coordination for information for tribes on how we can be -- I mean, just the how to be more effective so that we can work to develop solutions, goals, and objectives that will be much more accurate. And I included here not just the National Park Service, but the Greater Yellowstone Area, recognizing that the resources don't stop at your border here.

Some of the things we talked about was more frequent meetings with interested tribes; encourage more intertribal actions and involvement; develop a plan of

actions or strategies; and being able to participate in the planning process from the beginning to the end. And one of the things we really emphasized was providing timely feedback to the tribes as a result of these meetings. Because, for instance, I didn't get the meeting notes from last year until just a couple months ago.

That's an extended time frame for comments to be turned back to the tribes.

So that's what we talked about. What I was going to do, because it's a draft, is send it out to all the respective tribes for their review and comment and figure out how we're going to approve that so it's not draft.

For the representatives at the table, is it acceptable to everyone here that we make copies -- and, again, it's draft -- so that the Park Service can have a written copy? If there's any problems with it, speak up, because I was going to go ahead and disseminate it to all the tribes here anyway.

(No response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: So anyone else want to speak about the tribal caucus before we start our conversation?

(No response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Okay. I think the first thing I would like to do is thank you all very much for holding that caucus and for speaking to us about your ideas and

for caring about the bison and wanting to move forward and doing something positive to change it. I think we are very much in agreement that things do need to change. And I think -- I think what we heard you say is we share the same values about what is important for bison, and that is an important place where we can start from to make progress, and that is a hopeful situation. So that's -- those are all good things.

We also know that we have quite a challenge in front of us in regard to the legal framework that we have to look at, but I think we can walk a path together that will help us to understand the framework and see what we can do within that framework. As you know, there are two other federal agencies involved in the partnership, as well as the two state agencies. And I think the idea of starting by conversation is one that's very important to be able to understand where we are and the opportunities we face and the constraints that we have in terms of the law and the agreements that are there.

So I think the list that you have is an important list that we will take and look at, as well as your notes, and maybe we can talk this afternoon a little bit about how to get started on that.

Al vah.

MR. QUINN: Yeah, I've got a question. I think

you said something very important there, and then when you mentioned about how we can get started. I think before we can get started, we as tribes need to know what the legal framework is and the obstacles and challenges that we have before us before we can try and make any changes. I think it's important that we know what those are, those legal frameworks. And I don't know how we'd go about doing that other than if there's anything that the Park Service can provide to us as far as what are the legal issues that we're facing. And I know you guys have the same problem as we do.

So if the Park Service can somehow let us know what those legal frameworks are and how we might be able to -- We as tribes, I think we could do a lot more lobbying than you guys can. And I know you guys can't do any lobbying, so it would be up to us to try and make those changes. But first we need to know the legal frameworks, as you mentioned, as to how do we begin the process. Once we determine what the legal incidences are, then maybe we can begin that process.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you. I think that's really important. And one of the things that the Park Service will commit to is to share with you not just the documents and, you know, here's the Record of Decision and here's the EIS, but to have a conversation about what is

opportunity within that framework and what are constraints within that framework. And so we probably can't do that today, but I think it's an important thing to put at the top of our list to talk about as we move forward, so I've made a note of that and I'll make sure that we follow through on that.

MR. QUINN: Thank you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So how to start today, how to move forward from today, I think is an important question. The list that you all have is one that we will take very seriously, and I think there are really positive next steps in that. And the first question is, what is the first next step? And we spoke a little bit this morning about the idea of the tribes sending a letter to the Interagency Bison Management Partners to start that dialogue, to have that dialogue.

And I did have an opportunity at lunch to speak briefly with the superintendent as she was between phone calls with Washington, and she again reiterated she is very supportive of that. And she added to that, that if a letter could be put together that would go to all five of the principals, one for each agency head, from -- and you guys can decide the group, whether it's this advisory group or certain tribes or the ITBC. But if a letter could go out even as early as next week, for example, and

I think the word that she used was not a subtle word, she said even demanding that there be a meeting, not asking that there be a meeting, but demanding that there be a meeting, that she would like to see that letter go out; and when she receives her letter, because she is one of the principals, she would like as the next step that she sit down with you folks and talk about what happens now to make that meeting a success. So whether it's by telephone or in person, she would make that effort to either travel somewhere or to be here and host and say let's get together and say what needs to be on that agenda and what are some opportunities the Park Service has to support tribes in that face-to-face meeting.

So those are a couple of next steps that are possibilities. Not to preempt a summit, but maybe as a first step to move toward a summit, to start that conversation and start that dialogue.

Yes.

MS. TUELL: Recognizing that, you know, basically you have a whole new group of people you're working with now, you know, you're a deputy, and that previously we've met with -- Frank?

MS. LEHNERTZ: Yes, Frank Walker.

MS. TUELL: These are issues we've brought up before. These are issues where the tribes have requested

to expand the federal agencies to include, whether it's the Forest Service, APHIS. Those are all issues we've asked for in the past few years, and still, we come up with the single agency, National Park Service. So this is not a new issue. And I believe it was made very clear that we wanted to have more than one, in fact, all of the relevant decision-makers here.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And I hope that this will open the door to make it real, to not make it just talking to a wall or having a conversation without having an action come out as a result of it.

And a part of that is when she and I spoke today, she said she doesn't see any reason why there couldn't be tribal members on the IBMP. She doesn't see anything that says there can't be. And so in her mind, that's probably a conversation that should be on the agenda, and she would like to talk about that before the meeting so she can create the discussion points of support that make the strongest argument, the strongest discussion with the partners.

MS. MARVILL: You said that this document was going to weigh heavily on your minds in terms of management of bison in the park. So within your organization, you're going to have internal meetings regarding this document, correct?

1 MS. LEHNERTZ: Do you mean the GAO document? MS. MARVILL: 2 Yes. 3 MS. LEHNERTZ: Yes. We have had meetings and 4 we've also responded, and there will be actions that take 5 place as a result of that document. 6 MS. MARVILL: Can we participate in these 7 meetings? 8 MS. LEHNERTZ: You know, I don't know if the 9 response has specific meetings in it, but that's the idea, 10 is to have more participation. And so as we move along in 11 developing these activities, the adaptive management 12 that's there, I don't see any reason why we can't be 13 having those conversations together. 14 MS. MARVILL: Okay. 15 MR. CARLSON: Jim will hold you to that. 16 MS. LEHNERTZ: Good, Jim will hold us to it. 17 So does that first step make sense, that a letter 18 would go to the IBMP, the Interagency Bison Management 19 Partners, and then an opportunity for a meeting with the 20 Yellowstone superintendent before that meeting with the 21 partners? 22 MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: What kind of time frames are 23 we talking about? 24 MS. LEHNERTZ: You know, when I spoke with 25 Suzanne briefly, she said if something could happen in

1 July, that would be wonderful, which is why she thought if a letter could go out next week, there would be an 2 3 opportunity to set up the time for meeting before the 4 broad meeting. 5 Kristine. MS. MARVILL: The IBMP, is that the same members 6 7 as the GYIBC? 8 MR. OLLIFF: No. 9 MS. LEHNERTZ: The grizzly bear interagency -- it 10 is not. 11 MS. MARVILL: The Greater Yellowstone Interagency 12 Brucellosis Committee. MS. LEHNERT7: Ωh 13 14 MR. OLLIFF: It's broader than --15 MS. MARVILL: Who all sits on that? Rick, the difference between the 16 MR. OLLIFF: 17 GYIBC membership and the IBMP membership? 18 Let me think about this for a MR. WALLEN: 19 The difference between those two organizations is 20 that it was very deliberate in establishing the 21 brucellosis committee around the interagency --22 Yellowstone Area interagency group around the idea that no groups were going to have any kind of dominant force of 23 24 on-the-ground implementation and no group would trump

another group's individual decision-making authority.

25

On the Interagency Bison Management Plan, we have five agencies that come together that do have some direct responsibility for managing this issue and this population, but not all of the issues surrounding how we manage bison.

MR. OLLIFF: The difference in the makeup of the two committees.

MS. MARVILL: Are they the same individuals?

MR. WALLEN: Oh, I see what you're saying. The Interagency Brucellosis Committee has two levels. It has a decision-making level and it has a technical level, and some of the individuals are on both locations.

MS. MARVILL: Well, that's why I'm asking.

Because we have a seat on GYIBC. I sit on the Technical and Educational Committee and Ervin sits on the Executive Committee, and we go to those meetings where everyone is headlocked. So my question is, on the IBMP, is it the same agencies, same individuals?

MR. WALLEN: The Interagency Bison Management
Plan group is a subset. We only manage one population of
animals. And the Greater Yellowstone Area Brucellosis
Committee is looking at two different bison herds and
something like nine to twelve different elk populations.
So the brucellosis committee is looking at a much larger
area.

1 be3 i no

MS. MARVILL: And I understand the difference between the two, but my question is, is it the same individuals representing those agencies that sit on that committee that also sit on this other committee? Is it the same person from APHIS, is it the same person from Forest Service?

MR. WALLEN: Those individuals that represent the Interagency Bison Management Plan decision process are the individuals that are on the Executive Committee of the brucellosis group. There are a couple of differences. Like we have a regional director on the Interagency Brucellosis Committee; we have the park superintendent as a part of the Interagency Bison Management Plan group. APHIS also has a couple of different people. On the Executive Committee, for the Department of Livestock, it's the same person on both groups. On the Executive Committee, for the Fish, Wildlife & Parks, it's the exact same person. So there's two -- the only two individuals that sit on both the Interagency Brucellosis Committee and at the IBMP level for dealing with bison around here.

MS. MARVILL: Okay.

MS. LEHNERTZ: What do you say, Jim?

MR. QUINN: Rock on, he says.

I've got a concern, I guess. We've been talking about having this meeting, and I guess I still find it hard to

believe that we can meet if we don't know what we can and cannot do. You talked about the legal issues that we need to overcome first. I think before we can even come up with a plan, we need to know what it is that we actually can do, and I think on our InterTribal side over here, we need -- we need this information before we can even begin to work on a plan. Because it would be fruitless for us to do a plan and then come to find out that it's not going to be even addressed anyway.

So if we can get that information that I had asked earlier, I think we need that before we can do anything, even write the letters. Because we do need an alternative plan in place.

MR. OLLIFF: Can you clarify exactly what you want; are you talking about what is legal within the adaptive framework of the plan? Is that the kind of --

MR. QUINN: Yes.

You mentioned there were some issues that we need to take a look at to see if -- If we come up with a plan for the Yellowstone buffalo and it don't fit within the legal -- I can't remember the term.

MS. LEHNERTZ: There's the Record of Decision, and that's the leading environmental -- or legal document.

MR. QUINN: Right. Okay, we need to know what that legal document is first so that as we go forward with

trying to come up with a plan, we need to know what we can and cannot do.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And we can provide that. I think some folks have copies of it. I guess my question would be -- Within that legal document, there are things that can be done, and then there's also this opportunity to adapt what we do within it, and that's the opportunity to open the door. I think the best way for us to do that would be not to just send you that document, but really be able to talk through it. It takes a long time to understand all the different pieces and parts of that. And in some ways just kind of jumping into it at that table is one way, but we want to make sure we do some planning beforehand.

MR. QUINN: Sure.

One of the guys's reports this morning mentioned about this CUT ranch over here, and I think I mentioned it to Rick. They're both hiding back there. It was mentioned this morning about arranging for 30 years for the CUT I and. And, Rick, you mentioned that it might take -- over the 30 years it's going to cost approximately 2.8 million. That's going to be proportioned out on an annual basis; is that how that's going to go?

MR. WALLEN: There will be a down payment initially, and then an annual increment.

MR. QUINN: Because I couldn't help but think that 2.8 million would go a long ways to develop a quarantine facility, where you wouldn't have to go outside the park. And then the Park Service would have, I would think, sole jurisdiction to make management decisions, and that would eliminate the State of Montana, you would think. But I guess it's going to be dependent upon whether or not all that money is going to be required up front first on it.

But it's those types of things that I think we need to talk about as far as coming up with another plan.

Thank you.

MS. TUELL: I think that you have -- I mean, my response to your suggestion of having a formal meeting, I think we do need to have one. I think it would be good to have a government-to-government meeting with the federal agencies we identified, recognizing that it's just the beginning of where we're going to improve. And then I would suggest that the tribes here, we now have the challenge at this point to get to work on developing what our recommendations are, reviewing that plan, figuring out what is it we want that's change. I mean, we have work to do. If we want to be really effective, this is our opportunity, and we need to start doing that here at least in the next -- probably in the next few months.

But I also would request that the Forest -- I keep wanting to say the Forest Service -- that the National Park Service start looking for perhaps end-of-the-year money so that we can have some assistance for our financial offset so that we can make sure that we have our qualified people, the time and energy to do it, and knowing that federal funding is pretty tight. At least I request that it be elevated to a priority for the National Park Service to set aside that funding so that we can be effective to you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: I might add to that. As you know, federal budgets are tight everywhere. And one thing that we have been able to do is work with some non-profits, like the Yellowstone Park Foundation, to provide funding for important projects. And there may be an opportunity for tribes to find support from the non-profits with that common value, as well. So I'll see what we can do to put some partnerships together.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: My question is similar to Yvette's, but I needed a clarification on the conversation between you and he about the legal framework, and I need to know what legal framework you are discussing.

And then secondly, I agree with what you said, that there are going to be some things that we're going to develop that no one has thought of that provide us the

unique opportunity to be creative in partnerships maybe that haven't been there. So I wouldn't want legal frameworks to restrict us from doing that, because I think that's entirely possible. I think that we're at a point in time where other federal agencies are realizing that -- Like Jim said earlier, we've always had aboriginal psychologists and scientists in our own framework, but according to your degrees, we're getting those -- I mean, we have lots of those people now. And so we have, really, a lot of value.

Just to give you an example, the surface transportation board in the state of South Dakota is entering into a partnership with the tribes of the Ocheteshokaween (phonetic), and what they're doing is they asked the tribes to come up with what is called a tribal action plan of how, as the railroad goes through and destroys -- and it's really too bad because of a court action, which you know, set some ramifications in place. But they're required to follow certain parameters, which is to our benefit. And so what they have proposed to do, through DM&E, is to develop something called the Office of Tribal Involvement. In our language, actually, it means the camp within. Inadvertently, they came up with the word, which is Otee (phonetic), it means a camp within. Not knowing that, they came up with that name. And so

we've come up with a tribal plan, and they're going to actually locate an Office of Tribal Involvement in Pierre, South Dakota, to advise the DM&E, the railroad.

Now, that's a quantum leap from resisting and fighting cultural and tribal leadership and guidance. So I'm hoping that whatever legal frameworks that we're talking about, that they won't prevent this creativity and this uniqueness of this aboriginal knowledge which is, really, thousands of years old. So I just wanted to make that comment, that we balance that and not make it restrictive. So I need to know about the legal framework. We don't need to do that now, but that's a thought.

And then secondly, what Yvette said about the resources. Because like I said, we work with quite a few different agencies, so we're able to assist the skill level of different federal agencies. And you all come in from A to F, in between there, and I won't say what the grade is today. But I think that the resource issue is major, because we want to be at the table but we don't have the resources. And so in that partnership, if you have access to those foundations, then to tap into those for our benefit. Because we think our knowledge is valuable. And so that would be the other concern that has to be -- And July isn't very far away, so we would have to plan for that. But that was the other comment that I had.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you.

You know, the legal framework can sometimes feel like a huge burden, and it does mean we have to work within, but I think wherever we can find flexibility and innovation within it, we should take advantage of it.

One of the challenges of the Interagency Bison

Management Plan, the partners, is that you have five

agencies who each has an individual legal mandate, and so

we have to respect each of our individual mandates and

comply with that while respecting the others'. And that

is sometimes a difficult road --

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: Is that what you were referring to?

MR. OULNN: Yeah.

MS. SPOTTED EAGLE: Okay.

MR. BRONCHO: And that was the issue I was going to ask you about, was I know we'll probably be only advisory to the five entities under the Interagency Bison Management Plan, and that was the legal part: What kind of clout would the tribe have? We'd only be advisory to that. And I think one that would probably come up would be the elk, the elk management, because you can't exempt one out of there, address just the bison. So I think that's going to be a major issue in regards to that, the elk brucellosis epidemic, also.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you.

Do we want to take a short break before we go into the rest of the agenda? Fifteen minutes all right?

(A brief recess was taken.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thanks, everyone, for coming back from the break.

I think in order for us to keep moving forward and talk about some of the other issues that are also important on the agenda, what I'd like to do is formalize this discussion just a little bit more and bring it back to the delegations and to close out on the bison discussion.

What I'd like to do is see if we can come to some conclusion about the next step forward, whether or not it makes sense for a letter to go forward to the bison partners requesting -- demanding, if you will, a meeting with them with the tribes and to put together a good agenda that the superintendent here will work with the tribes on and meet so the path forward can be identified. And I think there are many ways that that can happen. One thing is the opportunity for the tribes, for example, to use the ITBC to put together a letter. Another might be for a subcommittee. So I guess I would open the table at this point to the delegates to talk about how that might be able to move forward and how the Park Service could

help with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARLSON: Thank you, Chris.

Briefly, I think most of the tribal delegates here are part of the ITBC as members, and I think a letter could probably be developed per the representatives per the ITBC and they could take it to the Executive Board and that process can happen, as far as a letter in support of the IBMP to address some of those issues. But at the same time, you're going to get -- each individual tribe, as their own government, could also initiate a resolution or a letter to go forward with that also to try to have some recommendations or be advisory or even have a vote on the five agencies. But I know that probably won't happen because it's all legal, with their legal authorities. But I think the advisory could be very strong in their recommendations. And that's why we made some recommendations to develop an advisory committee. And this is just the starting point of that.

But I think in regards to the letters, each one of us will have to go back to our individual governments and initiate a letter, fill in our tribal leaderships, and from there, they could endorse us as delegates to speak on behalf of our tribe; or we can go the other route and go through the InterTribal Bison Cooperative as a bison tribe, too.

MR. JOHN STONE: I guess, the first time being at this type of meeting, no way in my eyes do I see consultation occurring here, with the failure of the superintendent to be here. Me, as an official for my tribe, I run a nation. You know, this lady runs a park. I took the time out of my day, my time, my work to come here and participate, and I wasn't very happy with the fact that she's not here. You know, that's true consultation. We don't meet with secretaries. If I would have known this was going to be a meeting like that, I would have sent my secretary to sit here.

I appreciate the fact that the Park Service takes the initiative where the other four don't, so I could see your guys's cause is warranted and your involvement here wants to care for these buffalo and take care of them in the manner that they should be.

I would imagine the only way that a true consultation would occur is if that all the heads of the parties would be here, the five organizations, or four, whatever is involved with this management here. And I think ITBC may be able to formulate, gather, consolidate a bunch of resolutions from the affected tribes, or we could -- Most likely what I would like to see happen is a true consultation occur within 60 days and get the heads of all of these agencies together, get the tribal chairmen and

the officials that normally attend consultations to come to a real consultation meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, this was a very good informational meeting. I appreciate all of the knowledge that I have acquired at this meeting. But attending a true consultation requires decision-makers. One of my council partners is always after me, saying, "Why do we this? Why do we come to these meetings if all we're going to get is lip service? If we can't get an answer at the meeting, what is the use?" You know, it was very good information that we got here today, but it needs to be taken to the next level. This is kind of like a locality, a kind of meeting with like a city government. We meet federal governments, federal officials, government-to-government consultation. We need to have all of the players here in order to really get anything done. And I think strategy-wise, this was a very important meeting to get a lot of the rigmarole, I guess, in and out.

And I will be trying to develop, along with ITBC here, the avenue or the vehicle that we need to have in order to get a true consultation, and I really couldn't say how long it would take. I don't like to let things run out forever so I would be trying to get this done within 60 days, at least to set a date for the meeting. So I guess I would advise the rest of the tribes to get back,

update your leadership, make sure you get them involved.

If not, get them to delegate you to sit at the table for them, if they don't have time. Because a lot of our leaders, they get very busy.

I left the NCIA meeting and drove up here just to attend this meeting, so, you know, I let go of some of my other responsibilities to attend. And here I am meeting with people that can't make a decision, can't give me an answer. And that's not what I expected. I expected to be here to get progress, and now I find out this is only one-fifth of the people I need to be meeting with. And, again, I appreciate your guys's role here because without your interest, no one, none of the five would have been at the table.

And so I think we all need to probably go back and start picking out some dates, maybe preparing some positions from the different tribes as far as how they want to pursue on this. I know there's some treaty education that needs to occur. We need to really identify the tribes that have treaty rights here and make sure that they're all at the table. My tribe, we would have wandered up here hunting and gathering. Faith expressed different items where we've traversed the area, so we have an interest.

Like I said earlier, the buffalo are just like a

brother to me and when you kill them and you don't have a ceremony, they wander. You know, a little understanding about the slaughter of these things, if you don't take the time to pray with these animals and to paint their faces, they don't know where to go when they die. That's something that I don't think you guys have ever been exposed to. And I think some cultural sensitivity training from bison herd managers that come out of ITBC would be very helpful for you guys.

But as far as setting up this meeting, I would have to ask you, you know, how and what date and what do we have to go through to get the Montana people here and the other federal agencies here?

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you for the comments, and I'll see what I can do to answer them.

It is a small step. It's a step, though, that I think hasn't been taken, and so that's the good thing about it.

I think the timeliness of it is probably fairly important. We know that the public meetings will be starting

July 8th, I believe, it is, as we move forward. So while maybe that's not urgent, it is imminent. And so if there were some way to do something within the next 60 days in terms of setting up a meeting, I know that the superintendent here would very much push those partners to clear their calendars and find that time to meet. I do

think it's important that there be a focus to that, and so the superintendent has offered to meet before that. And to really talk through how to make that a focused meeting is an opportunity as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think my question to the group would be, how will we hear back from you all? Who should we expect to hear from?

MR. BAPTISTE: Myself and my brother here are both councilmen for our tribe, like was stated by a relative over there. Just like you say the superintendent will only come here if it's a focused meeting and we'll get true, meaningful dialogue, well, that's reciprocal on our end. When we designate our time to drive from Idaho over here, we want those things. And we're not saying that this meeting didn't bear fruit. It does as far as a good starting point to some meaningful information-sharing as far as where we want to go, what we want to accomplish. There's a goal out there and the gap is where we're at now to where we want to be two, three years down the line, and the ultimate goal is to be able to stop the slaughtering as far as it goes now. And right now, it's just unacceptable among all the tribes and all the relatives here, on a spiritual level, on a political, legislative level, it's just unacceptable to allow that to continue to occur without some intervening.

And so I think ITBC will be that forum as far as it's already a formed group. The information that we shared here is definitely something that -- these are ideas that we want to push through. But you have to have the weight, and the weight comes from each individual tribe's policy-level people who can not only address your, but a three-pronged approach as far as taking issues here, going to their own state and regional congressional delegations, and then going to D.C. to your guys's head office. one thing we don't want to do is make you guys look bad at headquarters in D.C., saying you guys didn't give us consultation. Because that's not going to do us any good. That's not going to do you a service either. So we have to walk together like we're talking about, we have to do this together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have to make sure that when you guys get the superintendent here the next time we meet, or if it's at the summit that we're pushing, that they're willing to give us that same feedback that we want. And it's only right. You know, we're elected by our people to speak for them. And so we also want to have that with our counterpart in your office there.

So I think that the Cooperative will be that entity, that driving force. It will be the vehicle that we'll use as far as pushing our issues, because they already have a

delegation of policy representatives that can voice that. And we get a letter from them and we'll go back to our council and talk to the rest of our council and get an action that's a policy-level action that states this is our -- this is our view and this is where we want to push it. So we'll use that as a vehicle. Next week hopefully down there, most of the tribes will be represented down there, and so I think that's a good forum to start with, because you've already got policy-level people there ready to act on it. And then you guys can develop a paper there if you want, a resolution, and then send it back to all the tribes for approval; it comes back as far as what we want.

I just want to say this is -- I don't want to give you guys the wrong idea that we didn't appreciate something wasn't done in the dialect that we had all morning, it's just this is a good starting point for us. There can't be enough meetings, there can't be enough. But if they're going to have meetings, we also need people there that can make decisions. So I trust each one of you guys have got good hearts, you're here because you believe in what you're doing, and the things that you have passion for is the way we feel. But you guys have a boss to listen to and they say yes or no, whatever office they sit in. So we need you to be that spokesman for us, we need you to

help us as far as the tribes are concerned; and that's what we're asking now, is to help us, you know.

And we'll walk with you guys as far as we can. If you guys need our help to go out of the parameters, to go to Congress, to go to anywhere else, to help for funding or anything like that, we can do that. So I think that's where we should aim now as far as the Cooperative, is getting that body together, and that's what we focus energy on and then keep pushing, and then this summit will come along and then we'll follow suit with that.

MS. LITTLE THUNDER: I think we have the resources, you know, and ability to communicate to be able to establish the planning meeting. And as John said, we don't want it to go on forever, you know, forever wait. So I think we need to get together among ourselves in saying, okay, we've got to plan. Because we need to arm ourselves with enough good information so we can make good decisions, and then we can move forward to that IBMP meeting.

I trust -- I mean, at this point, ITBC has been really good about communicating for us. They have the resources. And I think if we get our names and addresses together, or maybe the Park Service can share that with us, of who is here, get that before we leave here and make sure we stay in contact, establish the meeting date, and move forward

towards, you know, the letter and the IBMP and see if we can get it to work for us again -- not again, but this time.

I guess I would like to, as a delegate, ask for a moratorium on slaughter. It's been bad enough. And we did a quick roll call of our relatives, and we heard 2,000 to 2,500. I'm going to say 15, you know, as I stand here. And I'm really trusting my own judgment about that. You know, we don't have much left. So we can afford a moratorium on the slaughter, there's so few left and the herds are decimated and the genetic diversity is very compromised. So that's up to you folks, if you want to join that call for a moratorium.

And we just move forward for that meeting, the planning meeting, educating ourselves, and then go for the input into IBMP, but we'll keeping moving for that buffalo summit to get the agencies to the table.

So that's my input. You know, moratorium, if you want to join that call, it's your call.

MS. MARVILL: When we work with the other parks, we have a set of guidelines that we work with, MOUs and MOAs on surplus bison with these parks. With your park, because of the disease, it's different, there's multi-jurisdiction. And when we go looking for the answers, we have a stack of papers higher than my desk.

And so I guess part of what we would like to see is some form of guidelines or chain of command that addresses surplus bison. And, granted, you're not surplusing bison out, but because of the multi-jurisdiction, who actually has control over that?

MS. LEHNERTZ: And that is something that
Tom Olliff, our chief of the center for resources, after
this meeting, he's got kind of a chart over there that
he's going to walk through about some of the authorities
that the different agencies have. So, for example, we
talked about the fact that APHIS has the authority to
transport bison, the Park Service does not. And so those
are some of the limitations that we have. And, of course,
the complexity of having five agencies, it doesn't add it
up, it multiplies it up.

MS. MARVILL: What we're looking for, we want to know, okay, if we have to negotiate surplus bison, which agency --

MS. LEHNERTZ: Who do you negotiate with, right.

MS. MARVILL: If we want to move bison, which agency do we negotiate with.

MS. LEHNERTZ: And that's what we're going to try to clarify, kind of what we talked about, what is the legal framework. Tom is going to do -- there's like a cartoon sketch over there (indicating), and anybody who is

1 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interested in kind of learning that and helping us understand what we need to share -- We don't want to send you another stack of paper that's as tall as your desk. So we need to figure out how to have a conversation about it and talk about it.

MR. CARLSON: It pretty much seems like all the tribal delegates here are pretty much on the same page of going ahead and putting a letter together to your superintendent requesting that meeting and then we go forth from there. So I guess, myself, too, being from Blackfeet, we would do that, and also being the president of ITBC, we would formulate that letter here also on behalf of the individual tribes to request that meeting there.

So I think it's a good starting point and start working towards the tribes having input into this situation here. So I just wanted to relay that, it's a good starting point, I think that we'll be able to go forward with it from here.

> MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you, Ervin.

So Mr. Stone, one of the Stones. Jim Stone.

One of the twins? MR. CARLSON:

MS. LFHNFRT7: So what we will do is share with you the names and the addresses for the five partners so that that letter can go to all five partners. And then I will commit to working with the calendar of the superintendent to find an opportunity to contact the folks in your letter, who we would then get together with the superintendent and we would make that meeting happen and then go forward from there. So that feels like a good action item for us. And I thank you all for bearing with us through this conversation and helping us understand more and sharing with us.

So at this point, shall we turn over the agenda -- Rosemary.

MS. SUCEC: I know many of you have legitimately expressed concerns about a timely receipt of transcript. I spoke with Cheryl, our transcriptionist, and she can turn this around in 10 to 14 days. I will read it and make spell-check corrections, immediately get it back to her. We will, in the meantime, formulate a letter, and we will expedite that through the process, so that in the maximum of three weeks, you will have the transcript.

MS. LEHNERTZ: That helps. Thank you very much.

So if we turn to the back of the agenda, the blue agenda, there were a number of items that we had hoped to speak about. It's about 4:30 now. But is it okay with folks if we just kind of start through that list and work our way through it; will that be appropriate?

(No audible response.)

MS. LEHNERTZ: So the first item on the top of that list is the status of Native American Graves

Protection and Repatriation Act, specific to items at the Colter Bay Museum at Grand Teton. So I'm going to hand the microphone over to our colleagues at Grand Teton.

MS. HART: I'm the curator at Grand Teton

National Park, and we have there, as some of you know about, a remarkable collection of Native American art and artifacts, and I'm going to talk just a little bit about that today and about the work we've done towards our NAGPRA consultations regarding the collection.

A little bit of background. We call the collection the Vernon Collection. And I apologize, I'm going to have my back to somebody here while I talk. The collection consists of 1,429 objects, and they were collected between 1930 and 1965 by a gentleman named David Vernon.

David Vernon was just a private individual who had a great interest and a great passion for Native American culture, and it was just his particular collection. He traveled around the country, purchased items from various people; sometimes he had items made; some, we believe, were purchased from museum collections that were being sold elsewhere.

The objects themselves date from the 1830s to about the 1930s; most of them are from the latter half of the

19th Century. They represent more than 200 Indian tribes, and so consultation with tribes that are affiliated with these objects has been something of a challenge because there are so very many of them. We've started primarily with the affiliated tribes for Grand Teton National Park as they've been identified, and then we've proceeded to tribes who have objects -- who have a great number of objects in the collection, and then we started to reach out a little farther geographically. The collection was sold to Laurence Rockefeller in roughly -- probably the late 1960s, early 1970s, and it went through various travels, but eventually it was donated to the Grand Teton National Park in the very early 1980s.

The collection, we think of as consisting of kind of two parts in the way that it's been organized over the years. One part was kept in storage since it arrived in the park about 35 years ago; the other half of the collection was on exhibit. When I came to the park a little over three years ago, there was a great desire to take the objects that had been stored for many, many years in, frankly, conditions that were not terrific conditions for storing objects of these kind and to move them to a facility, a Park Service facility in Tucson where they could be examined and treated if they had damage or materials that were beginning to deteriorate. So there's

just a few slides here that show the process of moving the collection. They were -- each individual object was packed by hand by conservators that came to us from Tucson as well as some of our colleagues at Yellowstone National Park, and staff at Grand Teton National Park assisted in packing and moving the collection down to Tucson.

Once the collection arrived at the Tucson facility, it was unpacked, and some of the objects were frozen. And we freeze them because we have a great concern about insect pests in collections. Many of the items are made of organic materials, such as leather or feathers, and can sometimes harbor insects, and so some of the items were frozen to destroy the insects. After that stage, the objects were photographed one by one so that there would be a record of what the object was like before treatment, and then they will also be photographed after treatment.

The collection is actually in quite good condition, considering how old it is. And when collections look this good, we often are concerned that they were treated with pesticides at some point in the past, and one of the very common insecticides that objects of all kinds are treated with is arsenic. And so there was some random sample testing for arsenic in the collection, and so far we have not found any arsenic treatment in the collection. Now, that doesn't mean there isn't any, but at least what we've

tested so far, there does not appear to be any, which we're very pleased about.

While this collection is in Tucson, one thing they do there is to make proper storage mounts for the objects. The idea of a good storage mount is to provide support for the object and to hold it in a position where it minimizes deterioration in the future. And you can see, on the top right-hand corner, there's an example of a basket; rather than just sitting flat in a drawer, it's actually supported in the shape that it's meant to be in. You can see from some of the other objects that they are -- they've made like a little nest that they just set right in there that will help them stay in good condition for a very long time.

The other thing that's done while these objects are at the Tucson facility is that they're examined very carefully and very detailed descriptions, physical descriptions are written for them so that we have this as sort of a baseline set of information. And this shows Laine Thom, who is a collection assistant and a naturalist at Grand Teton National Park. He's worked with the collection for nearly 30 years, and we're very lucky that he travels to Tucson and adds his input and knowledge about the collection. On the lower right is one of the conservators describing some of the objects.

The last slide I have about the work being done in Tucson is an example of treating an item. In this case, they're treating a basket. On the lower left, you can see where there's a tear in the basket that's developed over time. The top picture is a conservator cleaning the basket with a very gentle vacuum. And then there's a basket conservator on the right who very carefully is reshaping the basket slowly over time and repairing the tear. And I've seen this repair after it was done, and, frankly, unless someone pointed out where the tear was, I couldn't even find it. They're remarkably skilled individuals doing this work.

So that's a little bit of background about the collection. For the last several years, we've been engaging in consultation with tribes, and we have several goals for our consultation. We think of it not as a one-time meeting where we exchange information, but we hope that we're establishing relationships with cultural representatives at tribes so that we can learn more about these objects. They came to us with, usually, very limited information. There's a general designation of the tribal affiliation; sometimes those are fairly good, sometimes they're just wrong, and we'd like to know more about that. And we'd like to know more about the objects themselves, how they'd been used in the past. We

understand that many of these same objects are used today. We like to learn about the construction, the techniques, the craftsmanship, as well as what did this mean to the people that created it and the people that used it, and what does it mean to the tribe today.

We also try very hard to clarify which objects fall under NAGPRA. Some years ago, previous curators worked to try to figure out what would be subject to NAGPRA and what probably would not be. And that needs a lot of information, and so we talk with tribal cultural representatives about what they believe falls under NAGPRA, and in doing so, we're able to make some clarification. Our best estimate so far is that about 25 percent of the collection appears to be objects of cultural patrimony and subject to NAGPRA.

And then we also want to learn more about how they should be exhibited and interpreted. At Grand Teton National Park, sometime in the next perhaps four to eight years, we're hoping to have the opportunity to build a new museum and to create new exhibits. And we're just at the very beginning stages of that, but we're very interested in finding out what's the best way to exhibit these pieces and what's the best way to communicate information about them to the public.

And the last slide I have is a list of the tribes that

we've consulted with so far. In many cases, there's
several bands within a tribe and we have more to contact,
but we've begun with those that are close by, we've
reached out a little farther, and we've also tried to work
with the tribes that have a large number of objects in the
collection.

And that's pretty much what I wanted to present. I'd be very interested in your questions, comments, concerns about this.

Yes.

MS. RIDDLE: The ones that are other than NAGPRA, are they returned to the right tribes?

MS. HART: We have not yet had anyone request a return of an object. We're certainly happy and ready to entertain a request.

MS. RIDDLE: Have you contacted them about the individual objects?

MS. HART: That's what we're doing. We've started with these tribes (indicating). All of these tribes have visited us in Grand Teton Park, they've looked at the objects, have expressed interest in repatriating some items, but we've not received any repatriation requests.

MS. RIDDLE: Well, my question was, have you contacted them about having these objects? Because some

may not know --

2 MS. HART: Yes.

MS. RIDDLE: -- but they would like them back once they are, you know, informed that you have them.

MS. HART: Yes, we have informed -- We've initially sent letters to all the concerned tribes, and then one by one, we're contacting them to let them know that we have these. For those that would like pictures, we have photographs that we can send out on a CD. And they're certainly invited to come meet with us in Grand Teton Park to view the items.

Yes.

MR. JOHN STONE: Who did you contact for the Sioux?

MS. HART: Jacqueline, can you help me with that?

MS. ST. CLAIR: This was in 2006 when we had our

meeting in Grand Teton, and we had Terry Gray -- And, I'm

sorry, I can't tell you exactly the tribes. I don't have

my notes here.

Rosemary, can you go through the different tribes?

MS. SUCEC: Yes. It was Terry Gray,

Rosebud Sioux; and then Elaine Quiver and Joe Swift Bird

at the time for Oglala Sioux Tribe; and Tim Mentz from

Standing Rock.

MR. JOHN STONE: That's three of four.

1 MS. ST. CLAIR: Yeah, we're not through. 2 MS. HART: We've contacted a number of tribes 3 that -- We have more planned for the fall. And we are 4 providing some financial support for attendance, so in 5 order to be able to do that, we have to work incrementally 6 with the funds we have available. But many have been 7 invited and are not yet able to come. 8 MR. JOHN STONE: Thank you. 9 MS. HART: Anything else? 10 Do you have the Winnebago listed? MR. GARVIN: 11 That's probably the Winnebago Reservation in Nebraska, but 12 we are the same people. We're intermixed. 13 MS. ST. CLAIR: We've contacted George and he's 14 supposed to be coming in the fall. 15 MR. GARVIN: George Garvin? 16 MS. ST. CLAIR: Yes. 17 MR. GARVIN: That's the Ho-Chunk, same people. 18 MS. ST. CLAIR: And we have Dave Smith from 19 Nebraska. 20 CARLSON: So who are the Blackfoot at the 21 top? 22 MS. ST. CLAIR: John Murray. 23 MR. CARLSON: That's Blackfeet. It says 24 "Blackfoot" and I thought they might have been -- So you have to change that to Blackfeet, not Blackfoot. 25 We have

two legs, two feet.

2 MS. HART: Anything else?

(No response.)

MS. HART: All right. Well, thank you very much.

MS. LEHNERTZ: The next item on the agenda is comprehensive planning at Yellowstone Park, and Eleanor Clark is our chief of comprehensive planning. She is not here right now, so I'm going to take just a few minutes and go over comprehensive planning for Yellowstone. I believe there is a pink flyer in your packet, and at the top it says Comprehensive Planning.

So in just kind of a nutshell, to let you know what we're doing with comprehensive planning, last year we had a record number of people visit the park, over 3.1 million people. And a lot of those folks -- most of those folks, probably 95 percent of those folks stay on a roadway or in an area that's developed, an area that has concrete and buildings and asphalt. And so as we look at those impacts on that environment, on the environment that has buildings in it, one of the things we've come to the conclusion about is that we need to make a plan for how those areas are used so that we can minimize the impacts on those areas and maximize the conservation of those areas.

You may be familiar with park planning processes.

Many parks put together what's called a general management

plan, which takes a look at the entire park within the boundaries, and it says, what is the significance of this park and what is it used for and how will we plan to develop this park. Because Yellowstone is so large, it is likely that we will not do a general management plan in our lifetimes, I would guess; some more than others, perhaps. And so what we are doing is saying because we have essentially five areas that are really heavily developed and used by people in automobiles and there's buildings and lodging and restaurants and shops, that we will look at those one at a time and define what can happen in those places, what's significant about those places, how does the park recognize those places, and how do we want to preserve those.

And so we've chosen three areas where we will start developing comprehensive plans, the Old Faithful area, the Mammoth area, and the Lake area. So three different areas. We're just getting started with this. And what we will do is -- We've just kind of put a timeline together. We'd like to have all these plans done by the year 2012, so we've started this year talking about it. We've created a new organization of four individuals who will be working on these plans. And we're just getting ready to start the scoping process, which means we will be outreaching to all the tribes and asking you your thoughts

1 about what we can best do to preserve these areas. So 2 that's kind of a nutshell description of what 3 comprehensive planning is, and we would look forward to 4 any suggestions you all have about how best to talk with you in our scoping period. 5 Any questions? 6 7 MR. QUINN: How many acres is the park? 8 The park is just over 2 million MS. LEHNERTZ: 9 acres, 2.2; in an ecosystem of, what, 18 million acres, 10 right? 11 Yes. 12 MR. BLACKIE: So this is for the benefit and 13 enjoyment of the people, right? 14 MS. LEHNERTZ: The benefit and enjoyment of the 15 people, exactly. 16 MS. SUCEC: All people. 17 MS. LEHNERTZ: People of the world. 18 Are you going to fix the road MR. BLACKIE: 19 between Tower and Roosevelt? 20 MS. LEHNERTZ: I'm going to turn this microphone 21 over to my esteemed roads colleague. 22 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Every road segment in the park will go through for our reconstruction process and go 23 24 to a 30-foot road top. And this fall, we will begin the

Gibbon River corridor with bridging, and eventually, every

25

roadside will be done, including, after Gibbon is Norris to North Gate. And multi-years, multi-millions of dollars in projects, but our roadway system is archaic and deteriorating, and it will all be reconstructed.

MR. BLACKIE: What are you going to do about some of the areas that have religious sites, like some arrowheads and stuff that are near the area there?

MR. CAMPBELL: Every one of our road projects goes through an analysis of impacts on all resources, including natural and cultural, and each one of our methodologies account for that and protect all those values that we go through.

MR. JOHN STONE: Who performs them?

MR. CAMPBELL: They have in-park archeologists that go through and do archeological surveys of every corridor

MR. JOHN STONE: Tribal archeologists?

MR. CAMPBELL: We have -- No. We've only used in-park archeologists for those surveys.

MR. JOHN STONE: You have the ability to identify a tribal cultural property, I take it?

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.

MS. SUCEC: Mr. Stone, we do -- we would look to you. We're going to send those letters out to all tribes early and provide a map of those areas, for example, on

comprehensive planning or road areas that we want to rehab. And we send it not only to tribal governing officials, but to traditional leaders, and ask for a call-back if we're working in an area where any of you suspect there may be traditional cultural properties or sacred sites. So we're working with tribes on that identification as well.

MR. JOHN STONE: Thank you very much.

It's just that historically, archeologists are trained on different identifications, but kind of where the tribes come in on identifying their own properties is the existence of traditional people. You know, we still utilize the same items, we still build the same structures, so it's just a lot easier for someone that lives with it to identify it. Kind of hard to get that training if you're a non-tribal member.

MS. SUCEC: Thank you.

MS. TUELL: Well, I think you've already started getting your scoping comments. You can construe a comment here that tribes will request to utilize tribal archeologists for conducting those surveys.

I would suggest that at the next, whenever it is, formal meeting that is going to be set up in the future, to set aside a good two to four hours just to look for tribal issues for this comprehensive plan. I think this

is the time, when you start scoping for these types of major plans, when you want to capture all of the individual comments. And I would suggest that it be organized in a formal, facilitated manner to capture as much input from the tribal representatives as possible. Because while we certainly appreciate getting the letters and maps, it's just so much more efficient to have a meeting such as this to capture those issues.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Yvette, one thing that we are going to do is next, I believe it's next spring, spring of 2009, we're going to have what's called a design charrette for the Old Faithful area, where we bring people in from across at least the region, sometimes the country, and there will be people with expertise in certain areas about transportation or how to move people around on boardwalks fast. And I think that's an opportunity for us to reach out to the tribes and see if we might be able to make some fit there, to bring an individual or some individuals in to be a part of that charrette. I think that's a nice opportunity. Charrette is French for little wagon, so go figure how that turns into a meeting.

MS. TUELL: Okay.

MR. WISE: You know, I have a hard time with the archeologists and all these people think that they know about the Indian artifacts and all that. I have a real

hard time, because they don't know what we know about our own artifacts. Like, for instance, you said about an arrowhead. An arrowhead, you don't know what we go through to make an arrowhead and what kind of prayers is put behind it, what kind of offerings we offer with the stones that we find.

Years ago when I was younger, I come out to the Obsidian Cliffs. That's the time I had permission from the president of Yellowstone to collect what we use for our traditional things that we use. So I come over to the Obsidian Cliffs, but I didn't go to the Obsidian Cliffs. I had one of the rangers follow me around making sure I didn't touch what I'm not supposed to touch. So, anyways, I took him up on the cliffs, but we went beyond that. I don't know if the person that went with me is still around. But we walked for about 20 miles around in circles, I led him around.

Finally he ran out of his water, the water he was drinking out of the canteen, and he was thirsty. I went over and dug a spring up and let it clear up and drank out of the spring, and he wouldn't do it. He wanted to drink his dead water that's purified in the little plastic bottles. But anyways, he got thirsty enough he finally drank out of that spring, and that spring was so cold that his sinuses froze. He started talking to me then. And I

brought him right back up on the cliffs there, right behind where they have Indian diggings, they call it, for obsidian. I know a lot of you guys don't know where that is, but somehow I know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I went over there and I was digging around in the place where they call it the diggings and I found an obsidian about this big (indicating). And I took it, made my offer, and I prayed for what I was going to do with it. And he asked me, he said, "How come you prayed?" I told him, "In our way, in the Indian way, we don't remove anything from Mother Earth unless we have permission and ask for prayers in what we'll use it for." In place of it, we leave an offering to balance that nature. We don't try to disturb nature. And that's why I did that. anyways, I took that obsidian. He looked at me and he said, "That ain't obsidian." So when I got back to my pickup, I got a chipping stone and took a chip off of it and I told him, "What is this?" He looked at it and he said, "Oh, that's obsidian." I said, "Yeah." I said, "We know. We know what to take and how to take it and what to leave." Us Indian people, we just don't want walk in and take it. We have prayers.

And that's one thing I wanted to ask you folks here today. I wish you would have joined us in that prayer out here today, because it wasn't just for the Indians, it was

for all of us, each and every one of us that's here if we have a heart that cares about what's going on here with the buffalo. That is survival for us. Every time we take a life of a buffalo, we've got to have prayers. We have ceremonies before we take that life. And we don't do what you guys talk about, slaughter. It ain't slaughter. It's part of life. We take a life for our life. So that's why it's so important. And, you know, that's what I was talking about, is the archeologist, what does he know about our ways? Why is that arrowhead laying there? What kind of ceremonies took place before it was an arrowhead? You know, that's the part I have a hard time with.

So, anyways, that's what we do. And I am a flintknapper, that's why I know. There's three things that we pray for, survival, protection, and for the animal or the stone that we take. So that's why it's so important -- Everything is important here on Mother Earth. Even Mother Earth, we pray for; we pray for her to carry us.

Before we left from home, we had a prayer; prayed for the good travel that we would have up here and tried to acknowledge you people that don't know our ways. Because everything that we do, there's always a prayer. We never forget our prayer; from the time we get up to the time we go to bed, anything that we do. We just don't go over

there and take it just because, oh, it's beautiful. We just don't go over there and look at it because it's an artifact. There's a reason why that artifact's there. There's a lot of reasons.

And them prayers that we say when we go find that rock, we pray for three things: Pray for that rock because it's there for us to use; another thing, survival; another thing, protection. What does that concern? It concerns death. You've got to kill an animal, that's death. You pray for that stone, pray for death. And you guys go -- these artifact hunters, they go out there and pick up these arrowheads, take them home. They're taking death home with them, which they don't know nothing about. That's why I'm always concerned about these archeologists.

Another thing, I go to a lot of meetings, and I notice one thing: We're the last to know about it, the Indian people. Like, for instance, when they was building them wind generators over there on **Arlington Mountain, they didn't ask us. They went through all the prayer meeting deals. And there's even a Medicine Wheel up on that mountain. And they went ahead and went through it with the archeologists looking for artifacts. They finally found one artifact, and that was the Medicine Wheel. They had to -- they had to acknowledge us. And it only took one tribe to sign off on it. That's

why all the windmills are on it now, because that's all they wanted, was somebody to sign off. And there were 14 tribes there, and we was all against it but that one tribe.

So, anyways, that's why I always have a hard time about these meetings; nobody listens. I know you're trying to listen, but the main listener, the main one that's over you people is gone. We want to get to the source, the person that has the authority to make decisions. Just kind of like sending a gopher out there, acting like you're making decisions, but you're not making no decisions. The decision-maker sits back. And a lot of places I go, the decision is already made when they contact the Indians. I notice that all over. And that one law we've got where you have to consult with the Indians, they say, "Yeah, we talked to them Indians, it's all right." I see that a lot of places. And it's kind of sad for us.

I kind of sit down sometimes and I think about all these things that I've been through, through different tribes. I know there's a lot of tribes here that I'm associated with. And, you know, like, for instance, Devils Tower, they want to bring a foreign object there to put there, but, you know, we don't want no foreign object there. They got their own -- their own ways of ceremonial

and prayers. We have our own, too. But why do they want to combine it? In our way, we don't combine prayers; we stick to one. And it's kind of hard to explain to the people that don't have no knowledge in our ways. You know, I try to explain it some places, but I'm getting where I just raise up my hands and say, "So be it. You guys have already got it planned, go ahead and do it."

But, coming back to the buffalo, it's important to us. I know I've been to the buffalo meetings here, Jackson, Colter Bay. I've been here three times. And we're still at the same table talking about the same thing. Nothing has ever been solved. The big dogs are gone, leaving us little dogs here talking. When it gets back to the big dogs, they don't listen, they've already got their minds set. And, you know, it's kind of hard for us to speak and really open our hearts, because when we speak, nobody listens. But when our president speaks, everybody listens.

Gas prices, it's outrageous. It costs a lot of money to get up here, and a lot of people have traveled a long ways. They've got their ceremonies to do, they've got their ways of doing their ceremonies, they've got to prepare themselves, but, yet, we had to set aside some time to make this meeting here. And so that's why it's so hard for us to be -- you know, it hurts us. But, anyways,

I'm glad to meet a lot of people here that I'm associated with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's why I was talking about that archeologist. We had a tribal archeologist that one time. After we got rid of him, he wouldn't give us our papers back, what he knows about, our spiritual sites and things like that. hurts us. And I took some artifacts and took them back in the mountains where they belong. There's only three of us that knows where it's at. I don't know why people want our artifacts. I know the mighty dollar is behind it, thousands of dollars. Even our bones; they want to know how long we've been here, what we eat, how we died. We don't go over there and dig up your graves. It's hard to see that. If something happens, the first thing, they'll call us. Just like that remains over here on the lake, I was called to do that; the Bannock Tribe was called to do that. We went over there and we set some time of our cultural ways aside to be there for that remains. And we don't try to rebury anything away from where it was found, because that's the place he was put, that's his place or her place. That's why we don't remove burials, we don't remove artifacts.

That's what I was saying about the artifacts, the arrowhead; we pray before we do it. If you find an artifact out there, an arrowhead, a spearhead, whatever it

is, it's got prayers behind it. And some might even leave an evil prayer, an evil spirit to protect it. And here you are, you go pick it up and take it home, you're taking something evil home; maybe it's death. And pretty soon you start seeing your loved ones disappear and you scratch your head and you say, huh, why?

See, I'm telling you this, I want you to learn why these things are so important to us, to the Indian people that's here. I'm not going to get onto you guys. I wasn't -- I'm not that way. But once in a while, I get pretty upset when I'm supposed to be humble. My friend here is the same way. I've seen him get upset once, and they listened.

But, anyways, that's the way of our Indian people. No matter what tribe it is, there's always a prayer. We prayed for the Buffalo Nation out here today, our brothers. Like I said, the Europeans tried to destroy us at one time, took our food source away from us, the buffalo, destroyed the buffalo -- I've seen skulls, stacks of them -- trying to get rid of the Indians, taking our food source, our life away from us. But we're still here, through prayers. We use the buffalo in our prayers, we use it in our ceremonies. There's a lot of other animals we use in our ceremonies. God put us on Earth for a reason, to take care of Mother Earth. That's what we're

supposed to do.

But today we're taking the spiritual things away from Mother Earth. We're shooting up our cows so we can multiply them. Now they don't even have to -- They just shoot them up with needles so they can double their calving. What you don't know, all that medicine that you put in the cow is killing us. It's killing us today. I think they call it mad cow disease. We can't go out there and eat raw meat like we used to; we've got to cook it. And the hamburgers, we have a lot of problems with hamburgers. But I never have heard of people eating buffalo meat dying. You know, I think about these things. So that's why I'm here today, to re-express my feelings to you people.

Thank you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Thank you.

Anything else on comprehensive planning? We've got a few items left. I know you're folding up your folder there, John.

The next topic on our list was camping fee waivers.

So I would open up the floor for any conversation on camping fee waivers.

MR. BAPTISTE: This morning when we drove in, it was like, are we supposed to pay to go to the consultation? And that's kind of a funny thing, but

that's what happens. I know with the national forest, we have a bunch of MOUs and other memorandums of agreement with different national forests as far as usage. I don't think it's going to be a detrimental dent in the revenue source if tribes come through and you don't make revenue off us, as far as access to traditional foods and some of the things that we utilize. You know, it's kind of tough to accept that we as tribes utilized this as an area to gather and to hunt and now we have to pay as a visitor. And that's pretty much how tribes have had to accept their homelands. We were pushed on our reservation, a pretty desolate piece of property, when all the pristine forests, other communities live there and we're visitors now; we go there just to visit, and the communities see us as someone that's not from there.

So I think the fee issue is, without regard, you know, something that needs to at least be taken seriously as far as charging any aboriginal tribe here in this area, or any tribe, as far as access. Because this is something that we revere highly. You've heard all through the day how the tribes value this as more than just a sightseeing, but the actual cultural and significant ties that we have to the Earth and where our religions come from, from this natural resource. So I think for my part, the Nez Perce Tribe, we definitely would want to see that, we would have

those agreements as far as how we treat and what we would do to conduct ourselves. So I think that dialogue should start as well as far as taking that fee away and the access issues that each tribe here has, to be able to access the park without having to worry about those things. It's a little tougher to swallow when the tribes have lived here for thousands and thousands of years and now have to pay a fee just to cruise through here and take a look at those things.

So I just wanted to start that off a little bit.

Thank you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: We're going to buy a half a dozen cordless microphones sometime.

MR. BRONCHO: In regards to what our tribe did is we talked with the park and we don't pay them fees. But there's other tribes that utilize the park, like the Nez Perce Tribe just mentioned, Brooklyn. And I believe that each individual tribe that wants to address that issue could approach the National Park Service in that regard.

You know, basically, what Brooklyn was mentioning is a lot of these are Indian trails, Indian camps, traditional use areas, you know, and the myth of us being scared of the geysers and so forth. You know, it's all coming to a head in regards to the cultural issue. But I really strongly believe that we shouldn't be paying these camping

fees, there should be a waiver there. I know that there's a reservation system in place right now, and maybe there should be more -- maybe they should be reserved for some of the tribal people coming through because of the gathering rights that we have, you know, and the things we still gather. And just like what Haman was mentioning in regards to being followed around all over the park just so that he doesn't pick up something he's not supposed to. Well, those things fall into some of our ceremonies and our traditional ways of gathering for these plants and herbs and different things we use for medicinal purposes.

As an example, maybe somebody might have a dream to come in here and fast, and then they have to go through the whole stringent process of getting a permit to go up in there, you know. And I know we're in this day and age where we have to get permitting and so forth, but I think that the process should be started in regards to waivers of these campgrounds. Because along all these streams -- you know, like Haman was mentioning, the water, he was drinking from the water there and he was never thirsty. He already lived with the land and knew how to survive out there, you know, in regards to inherent rights that he had from his ancestors, you know -- well, all of us.

And I think that the process, you know, in regards to the Yellowstone Park being established in 1872 when

Teddy Roosevelt came here, and this was established as the first national park, it didn't eliminate us from here. I know that we're abiding by the hunting laws and stuff like that, you know, but as far as somebody going in there and gathering, it ties into the cultural issues, the American Indian Religious Freedom Act, the Indian Civil Rights Act, and all those different laws that are in place. I think camping is one that we're trying to address. I know we addressed it in Idaho and so forth in regards to -- along with the Nez Perce Tribe in not paying these fees on any national forest. And it is in their reservation portion of that that it's exempt for tribal traditional use. I know it's in Idaho.

And if that reservation system -- What I mean by "reservation" is when you call a year in advance and reserve a campsite. It's a national reservation system. And why can't it apply here in the park? Because you have a lot of people traveling during what we used to call war dances and celebrations and so forth, people traveling to Crow, people traveling to the oil discovery celebrations, and these different activities, ceremonial activities, and they have to cross through here. And, you know, at the same time, they might be wanting to pick up some herbs and plants, or maybe somebody is sick in their family and it's more closer to Earth and something that, you know, maybe a

non-Indian couldn't understand because we're closer to the Mother Earth; I guess you could say it that way. And you can't put some of those things in words, and it's very hard to put it on paper.

But, basically, I just feel that the waiver on these campgrounds shouldn't even be an issue, we should be able to come in and camp in aboriginal territories that we roamed for centuries. And I know that's a strong statement and so forth. But I think if there needs to be a process to be developed, you know, you're talking to some of the policy-makers here that express themselves and so forth, because it all ties to the buffalo taking, you know, gathering. We just didn't go to hunt, we went to gather, too. So that's just the way of life, and it's still practiced to this day.

MR. JOHN STONE: One more comment and I'm done. Earlier you said there was 3.1 million visitors?

MS. LEHNERTZ: Last year.

MR. JOHN STONE: Last year. \$25 a head?

MS. LEHNERTZ: A carload.

MR. JOHN STONE: A carload?

MR. CAMPBELL: Per vehicle.

MR. JOHN STONE: What was your per-vehicle usage?

MR. CAMPBELL: Estimated 2.3.

MR. JOHN STONE: So you're looking at

fees.

\$50 million, is that right, with the entrance fees?

MR. CAMPBELL: Revenue was about \$7 million.

MR. JOHN STONE: About 7 million from entrance

MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

MR. JOHN STONE: Are you guys familiar with Devils Tower, Mount Rushmore, Badlands National Park?

Okay, my friends over here (indicating), we was at a consultation a couple months ago with Dorothy FireCloud. She's the superintendent over there, and she informed us that it was not a highly publicized memo, but all Natives are granted free access to national parks, as an interagency memo. They don't advertise it because they don't want the general public getting mad at the Park Service for allowing them. So maybe if you guys could get ahold of her or have your superintendent call her, she could probably explain that process a lot better than I can. But I am definitely under the understanding that we have free access already to these parks.

MR. OLLIFF: That's been in place for a while.

MR. CAMPBELL: You're right.

A couple of issues here. Campground waivers at the park level are the discretion of the superintendent. I can speak for her in that regard, and I will entertain those waiver requests to tribal members visiting the park

for non-recreational purposes.

MR. JOHN STONE: Why would recreation to a tribal person be anything different than coming here for a spiritual reason? We should be afforded those same abilities, you know. It was ours to begin with. I could see paying for some of the conveniences, say if you were camping at a parcel that had electricity and water. It's the same in South Dakota. When I go to the South Dakota state parks, if I'm going to utilize their playgrounds or infrastructure, then I have no problem paying. But just as spiritual or recreational use, I can't see how you can differentiate it.

MR. CAMPBELL: I don't think you can. And I don't think we spend a lot of time trying to differentiate that. If you make the request for a waiver for traditional purposes, traditional visits, historical visits, you know, that will be considered.

Now, in regards to Yellowstone National Park, we only manage some of the campgrounds. The others are on contract to our primary concessionaire. And we can waive fees in our campgrounds, but we can't speak for them.

MR. JOHN STONE: Because of their infrastructure and investment.

MR. CAMPBELL: Well, they're on contract to us. So it's just like a motel room. They're part of our

contract to provide motel rooms; they also have a contract to provide campground spaces. We don't have the waiver ability on the motel rooms, just like we don't have the waiver ability on the contracted campgrounds that they run.

I am fortunate and I do know Dorothy, I spoke with her just last week. And there is a wide ability to have waivers for tribal peoples in parks for recreation. We call those recreation fees, entrance fees, use fees; we lump them and call them recreation fees. But we're more than happy to consider any of those requests for waivers.

MR. JOHN STONE: Thank you.

MR. VOGEL: And we would also be happy to entertain that at Grand Teton National Park. I would point out that at this time, all of our campgrounds at Grand Teton are run through contract. We would be happy to engage in discussions with our contractors and certainly would be willing, as contracts are renewed, to take that up as an issue.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Shall we move on to the next topic on the agenda? We're creeping right up on 5:30.

The next one is parks' 106 planning and compliance process. So I open the table to any discussion on those topics.

And if we have none, we can go to the next -- Oh, we

do, okay. Sorry.

MR. GARVIN: Just a simple question on this

106 process: Do you utilize Native American people for
their expertise, or are you still using your park
archeologists?

MR. OLLIFF: We start with the park archeologist.

When it gets larger, we do contracts. And we have done -Rosemary.

MS. SUCEC: Excuse me, Tom. I'm sorry, would you repeat that?

MR. OLLIFF: Sure. We start with our park archeologist. It depends on the size of the project. If it's small, we just do it in-house. If it gets larger, we do contracts. And we've just started doing some contracts with tribal archeologists.

MS. SUCEC: Right. And we have a project, for example, surveying the Nez Perce Trail, and there is a tribal archeologist who will be involved there. And then, Mr. Garvin, we announce when we're doing survey and we announce the results of those surveys. And as Tom said, if it's a large project, we'll send a letter, we'll have the map, we'll ask for some input on that process. We oftentimes get tribes who say, "Don't worry about it. It's all right. If it clears, we're fine." Or we'll sometimes get calls or sometimes tribal cultural experts

want to come and view the sites. So we do notify tribes when we're -- For example, even in comprehensive planning, there's going to be archeological survey. We'll notify you of that survey.

[Ann Johnson, YNP archeologist, relays that tribes are informed of archeological work through scoping letters, consultation, and reports.]

MS. ST. CLAIR: I am the archeologist for Grand Teton National Park, and I am an enrolled member of the Seminole Nation of Oklahoma. I may not quite be the right tribe for a lot of these sites, but I try to be sensitive. I've employed Jason Plain Feather -- who is gone -- to help me; he's Crow. We're trying to be sensitive to the subject. And, certainly, if anyone ever wants to come and monitor or assist us, they're certainly welcome. So that's what we're doing at Grand Teton.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Okay, if there are no more questions on the 106 and compliance, our next topic is -- We do have a question. Thank you.

MR. BLACKIE: I've got a question. Who is your archeologist?

MS. LEHNERTZ: In Yellowstone Park, Ann Johnson is our archeologist, and Grand Teton just spoke.

So our next topic, topic No. 3 on the back, is sacred sites and access to such sites.

MR. GARVIN: I suggest that this topic should remain on board for future meetings on your overall comprehensive planning efforts. I think a lot of the tribal people may have already left, but they may have concerns about access to sites. And I'm not clear as to your restrictions, if anything can be removed from the Yellowstone Park within the boundaries. I don't think they would remove anything, but they might utilize sites. So I think this should remain on that as a topic for future discussion.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Rosemary, can you make a note of that for the agendas?

MS. SUCEC: Yes.

MS. LEHNERTZ: Colin, did you want to address the removal issue?

MR. CAMPBELL: It is defined in park regulation where certain items can be removed from the park; typically, gathering items, you know, berries, nuts. And we can define that, you know, item by item. But that's allowed for in our Code of Federal Regulations that is in effect for the parks. But there is the ability for removal of certain items.

MS. SUCEC: I'd like a point of clarification.
We do do archeological surveys. Ann Johnson, the park
archeologist, does the surveys. We have a responsibility

to notify all of our park associated tribes of the results of that survey, and that may come in the form of a report, and we ask for tribal comment. If there is anything particularly unique that we need input on, we'll, of course, go to you for that. But that's our protocol; the surveys are done, the reports are written, a letter is sent to all tribes announcing the results of that report, and then the opportunities for comment. And that's particularly related to planning projects, certainly, not minor surveys.

MR. BLACKIE: A couple of years ago -- You remember Gary Silk? I'm not an affiliated tribe with Yellowstone, but I'm full-blooded Navajo and I've been here going on beyond 25 years. This is my home and my backyard, just like you guys; I work here, live here. And I had Gary and some of the Nez Perce sign a piece of paper for me saying that it was okay for me to remove some items, you know, in Yellowstone National Park. But it seems like that paper has been lost, that signed piece of paper has been lost. It was given to the superintendent before Suzanne Lewis, Mike Finley. It was given to him and it was put in his office, and he was the only one that received it, and it was never to go beyond his office. So I don't know, I was just checking on that as a request.

MS. SUCEC: Irvin, we do have that document on

file.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLACKIE: Because that was with Gary and those guys under the Native American Freedom of Religion It was spiritual. Even though I was not affiliated Act. with the Yellowstone tribes, they gave me permission to request items, you know, from the park. And I was just bringing that up so, that way, anybody that's not affiliated with Yellowstone Park, you know, I went through some tribal members and got their permission, so that way I could get some stuff out of the park. And in our culture, too, we have some stuff in the park that we use for our ceremonial, too. But I'm not going to give that information, because it's just going to go to your backlog and then you're going to sign a waiver that says you guarantee that you're not going to give it to anybody else, but, you know, it's still in your file; somebody is going to open it up and somebody is going to take it So I'm just checking on that. anyway.

MS. SUCEC: Okay.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So the last time there was the collection of natural resources such as plants, it seems like we've kind of covered that as we talked about sacred sites. Is there anything else anyone would like to add?

MR. BRONCHO: All I wanted to say is that it's all connected, the gathering and the ceremonies and so

forth is all together. So when we talked about that, that goes along with what we just talked about; part of the camping, part of the ceremonies and different things that are addressed in regards to our feelings from our heart through our prayer.

MS. LEHNERTZ: So at this time I would open up the floor to any other topics and we can discuss those, and also open microphone, so if there is anything at all anyone would like to say before we do our closing.

MR. BRONCHO: I just want to thank the Yellowstone National Park staff. You know, I know it wasn't called a government-to-government consultation and so forth, and I think we addressed that in other forums here. And also the Grand Teton. Too bad the National Elk Refuge couldn't be here. I want to thank all the tribal leaders that came here, the policy-makers that took the time, because it's coming from their hearts and their people to look out for the future of their ceremonies and their prayers and their way of life. And, you know, when I talk ceremonies and our ways, it's what we practice, it's our way of life. So those things, I just want to thank the cultural people for coming here.

I think it was a productive meeting to initiate some other future meetings that we can move forward to set policy. And that's, you know, where the tribes are coming

from, so that we can have the people that can make the decisions here meet with the people that can make the decisions on the tribal side. And each individual tribe are individual sovereign nations, and they might have a different form of government-to-government policy that they call theirs, so you have to also consider that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when we call it government to government, as an example, our tribe, we have to meet on the Fort Hall Indian Reservation with our policy-makers, and we don't want to meet with anybody that says, "Well, I've got to go and ask my uppers if that's okay." Like our leadership, they'll walk out of the meeting and say, "This is a waste of time." So, you know, that's just to say it bluntly, that other tribes probably have similar policies to where they don't want to -- they have a lot of valuable time. The tribal leadership sits in the capacity similar to the governor of the state of Montana or Idaho or Wisconsin or whoever, you know. But you're talking to the top people, you know. And the reason we're saying that is we made the treaties, statutes, and executive orders with the United States Federal Government, and we call that similar to the first contracts with America, and we want them uphel d.

In regards to the Constitution of the United States, those are things that are supposed to be upheld to the

fullest. And that's why I mentioned when Teddy Roosevelt in 1872 formed this park, there was no consideration for the tribes. And maybe there was. But I think it's time to bring those things out, and you're hearing it from the different tribal leaders.

Thank you.

MR. NELL: I'm just a local resident, I'm non-native, but I do work with buffalo on the ground, with Buffalo Field Campaign and, like I say, just about anybody else who will work with me. One thing I think that really comes across is treat these animals as wildlife, and secondly, think about the buffalo. When I'm out on the ground, either walking buffalo away from a bad spot where they can get hit by cars or in trouble with livestock, or if it's just some kind of a bad situation for a buffalo, when we look at that situation as a person that's an activist and as a person that tries to help these buffalo, we look at that animal and we say, what's best for that buffalo?

I don't care what's going on with these people in law enforcement. I don't really care what's going on with elk and other things. I want to know what's best for this buffalo right now, right now on the ground. And that's the mantra that we basically -- I play in my head, and anybody who has ever dealt with buffalo long enough. You

look at that animal, what's best for that animal? Then let's do it, let's do whatever it is. Maybe get them away from that spot or just watch out for anything else that might happen.

And what would be best for the buffalo? You talk to the conservation community, and they're going to tell you, Native Americans. There's a lot of great stuff out there that you guys could be doing, and I'm hoping you're going to be able to do it as you start to learn about what's going on in and around here. But, honestly, you talk to the conservation community, and, honestly, you folks could have the power to change what goes on here with buffalo. And so I really stress to you, get together and work together for buffalo, what's best for the buffalo. That's the key, folks.

If we have that mantra of what's best for the buffalo and what's best for our wildlife, then we aren't going to have these meetings anymore, we aren't going to have a buffalo controversy. To put cattle ahead of our wildlife here in Yellowstone is completely wrong. And so let's hope that in the future we can have this Bison Management Plan completely revised and something new out there. But I really stress, boy, if you guys can get together and really come together on this issue, we could win, we definitely could win.

Thank you.

MR. BAPTISTE: I wanted to say thank you for everyone, our elders who took their time to come up here and express their words. They've had the extreme fortitude to live this long and persevere all the experiences they've had three times as long as I have.

I'm just a young man yet, a kid compared to my relatives, my uncles here, who you all have been here long enough. But we are elected leaders of the Nez Perce Tribe, so we have to come here and voice our opinions and give the best we can with the teachings that we got from our elders.

It's real hard to express, as far as us as native people, how connected we are. And I always remember this as far as the Salish-Kootenai, when our relatives were escaping and trying to get out of persecution, in 1877, we came through the national park. We were running from the government and the calvary and trying to maintain a lifestyle that we knew was in jeopardy. Well, we had relatives that we were going to go see, and the government told them, if you help these people, then you're going to be held accountable to that and your guys's people will have a hard time if you help them. So they had to look out for themselves. And so that divided us again.

We're divided by lines. The Interior, the
United States, upon their plenary power, imposed lines and

said, "These are the lines, you're on this side, you're on this side. Now this is who you are, you can't cross, this is a state line. Your jurisdiction has changed." It had nothing to do how with how we roamed, how we related to each other. So by how we hold sacred this animal, the buffalo, as sacred as it is and as bad as we have treated it and we give that importance to it, it brought us tribes together again. A lot of these tribes here would never talk to each other because we have our own interests, we live in different states. But here we are talking again because this sacred animal, through its death and through its life, brought us back together. And here we are, the common denominator is that sacred animal.

So you're sitting here asking us for help and we're trying to help you. So we hope that the words, the things that were said today, that you don't take them to heart as hurtful. It's just a long history of people not giving their promise -- someone who hasn't kept their promise. There's a lot of distrust going on, saying, what's going to make it different this time than it was for the past hundreds of years of us asking you to keep your word? And that's all it was. We used to bring pipes to these meetings, but now we bring attorneys, we bring staff, because that's the only thing that people will listen to anymore. So we want for this to be meaningful, and

hopefully let you guys take that home, that it's nothing personal, it's just you're dealing with, you know, a nation that's got nothing but lies. And we want to believe in it, that's why we're here. If we didn't have that small ounce of hope, we wouldn't have spent the time to get here, we wouldn't be here right now. We have our elders here who have lived three times as long as I have and seen that and lived it, but they're still here hoping that you guys and we can build something from this meeting. So I just wanted to say thank you for having that.

And all my relatives, that you guys have a safe trip home and you go home and find your homes and your families the way you left them and that the Creator bless your roads and that we can meet back again with that same spirit given by this animal and the rest of the wildlife. So I just want to say that part from my people back home in Idaho, the Nez Perce. [Native language.]

MR. WISE: I've just got a question here. Here a while back, I think you were having young people come on board for you guys and I think they wanted some elders or different tribes come over here and give them -- I mean, teach them the concerns we have. Is that still in position?

MS. SUCEC: You bet, Haman. In fact, we have

some brochures over there (indicating) about our outreach to youth. And I'm pleased to say that in partnership with our park foundation, we offer a residential camp for the youth of park-associated tribes, that is, tribes who have histories here, legacies here, and elders to come together with the Park Service for a full week to learn about one another and learn about the landscape. And we've got a commitment from, actually, Toyota Foundation to continue this on into the future. And at the potluck, or even after the meeting, if there are any tribes here who would like to bring your elders and your youth, we would love to have you here for that week.

So, absolutely. The park has made a commitment to that to enable you to continue that legacy through your youth. Thank you.

MS. LEHNERTZ: I'm going to ask Bob to say a few words, and then we'll ask for the closing.

MR. VOGEL: It's been a pleasure to be here today. We've had some frank discussions. I think it's been needed. I am very impressed with the outcomes. We have a lot of work to do. You have my commitment -- and I can say that for my boss. You have the commitment of Grand Teton National Park that we want to continue to work and dialogue with you. We want to -- And I didn't get to talk about it too much, but we talked about the Vernon

Collection. We're trying to create a new museum, as Alice talked about, and we want to make sure that from the beginning we have tribal representation in our planning efforts and that we are not just coming to you halfway through the process and saying, "What do you think?"

These are your stories and the stories of other native people across the country, and I cannot tell that story for you. And so we urge you to be a part of that and to help us to figure out how we can involve you in that effort and in other efforts in the park.

I thank you for coming today, your commitment to this effort, and look forward to having dinner with you tonight.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you for being here today.

There were some hard things to hear today, Mr. Baptiste,
but I'm glad I listened to them, I'm glad I've heard them,
and we'll keep moving forward together.

Solutions are not always easy to get to quickly. But I'm a big believer that you can make a hundred points of change ten different ways if you work together. So I know that we heard a lot today, and over time we'll know if we understood it or not. But thank you for coming.

MR. QUINN: I've got a comment.

Excuse me, Rosemary.

I want to thank the National Park Service, too, for

hosting this meeting. And I think it might have been Brooklyn or somebody that said that it would have been nice if you guys could have been out there. What I thought was really nice today about the prayer outside was the fact that a number of the people who said their prayers also prayed for you all, for all of you for working here. Because everybody knows that you, too, have a hard job. And we're all in this together, and hopefully maybe now we can try and make a difference. But I did want you guys to know that a lot of the prayers that were said today were for you guys, too. So thanks, again, for hosting the meeting.

MS. SUCEC: Thank you, Al vah. Thank you.

You'll be pleased to know that we have someone, an ambassador that went ahead to the potluck and let them know that our guests of honor are on the way.

You're a taskmaster, Chris Lehnertz. We got through that agenda.

So everyone will be waiting for you and the dinner will be ready.

MR. BRONCHO: Have we met the guests of honor?

MS. SUCEC: You are the guests of honor, all of you are.

All the food up here, please, you're welcome to take it. And I will be out here bright and early 8 a.m. to

take a trip to the conical lodges. And we need to know from you, if you would give that information to us, how they were used. We've heard sweatlodges, residences, overnight motels for Blackfoot -- Blackfeet folks. But I'm happy to lead a tour. It shouldn't take long at all. And as I mentioned, I'll be out here at 8 o'clock in the morning. And I'll wait until 8:30 for folks to show up if anybody wants to join.

MS. LEHNERTZ: I would, just in closing, like to say thank you for a number of things, one of which is the federal government is often known for turnover in staff, that people come somewhere and then they go somewhere else. And I know that it takes patience to break new people in, and so I know Colin and Bob and Chris are all thankful that you're willing to try that again with another crew of people.

Thank you also for spending the time together with us today helping us to understand more, teaching us, and working with us in understanding what's out there as constraints and where we can take advantage of the opportunities.

So, Mr. Incashola, if you would lead us in the closing, please.

(Closing prayer by Tony Incashola.)

(The meeting was concluded at 6:00 p.m.)

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF MONTANA)
SS.
COUNTY OF LEWIS AND CLARK)

I, CHERYL ROMSA, Court Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County of Lewis and Clark, State of Montana, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were reported by me in shorthand and later transcribed into typewriting; and that the -190- pages contain a true record of the proceedings to the best of my ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 24th day of June 2008.

CHERYL A. ROMSA Court Reporter - Notary Public My Commission Expires 8/4/2011