

From: [Rhyan, Jack C - APHIS](#)
To: [Frey, Rebecca K - APHIS](#); [Clarke, Patrick R. - APHIS](#); [McCollum, Matthew P - APHIS](#); [Nol, Pauline - APHIS](#)
Subject: RE: Another long term study question.....
Date: Friday, February 06, 2015 1:18:35 PM

I'd say keep it in your stash but let's delete it. No results are better than bad results!

j

From: Frey, Rebecca K - APHIS
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2015 12:05 PM
To: Rhyan, Jack C - APHIS; Clarke, Patrick R. - APHIS; McCollum, Matthew P - APHIS; Nol, Pauline - APHIS
Subject: Another long term study question.....

Sorry, I have just been working with the database a lot lately.....anyway, we started the GC project using Rivanol as one of the regular tests, however, the reagents have been off..(a known lab issue)... and we have been getting some bizarre results from Rivanol....almost everybody has rivanol titer at +50 or more. Soooooo, we have quit....at labs discretion....using Rivanol. They and we felt it was not telling us anything anyway. I have no idea if they will resolve the rivanol issue before we finish this study. Presumably they will, but who knows. That being said, what do we plan to do with the Rivanol test results, and if we don't have a complete set of tests over the years as with FP and CF and others....do we want to keep that data at hand or ignore it? I plan to "hide" that column for now....but I may delete in future.....we still have all of the paper results filed away in my most secret GC stash. ☺

Wildlife Biologist/Disease Specialist
USDA APHIS VS
Montana
406-333-4425 office/fax